# BALDWINSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# PUBLIC BUDGET DOCUMENT FOR THE 2018 - 2019 BUDGET

# **DONALD S RAY SCHOOL**

Plain Language Explanation of 2018-19 General Fund Budget	Page 1-16
Proposed 2018-19 General Fund Budget	Page 17-35
Administrative Compensation Information	Page 36
Property Tax Report Card	Page 37-39
Local Government Exemption Impact Report	Page 40-49
The New York State School District Report Card for the Baldwinsville Central School District	
DISTIFICE	Page 50-92

### BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

# BALDWINSVILLE CSD ENROLLMENT (2016 - 17)

K-12 ENROLLMENT 5.520

#### **ENROLLMENT BY GENDER**

MALE FEMALE
2.851 52% 2669 48%

### **ENROLLMENT BY ETHNICITY**

GROUP	TOTAL	PERCENT
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	15	0%
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	115	2%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	233	4%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	67	1%
WHITE	4,860	88%
MUETIRACIAL -	230	4%

#### **OTHER GROUPS**

ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED

37 1% 790 14% 1,574 29%

#### **ENROLLMENT BY GRADE**


GROUP	TOTAL	PERCENT
K(FULLDAY)	387	7%
IST GRADE	404	7%
2ND GRADE	383	7%
SRD GRADE	396	7%
4TH GRADE	434	8%
5TH GRADE	412	7%
STH GRADE	411	7%
UNGRADED ELEMENTARY	9	0%
7TH GRADE	440	8%
STH GRADE	411	7%
9TH GRADE	431	896
10TH GRADE	437	8%
TITHCRADE	445	8%
ZTHGRADE	500	9%
UNGRADED SECONDARY	20	0%

# AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (2016 - 17)

AVERAGE CLAS	33 3:22 \23 23
GROUP	CLASSSIZE
COMMONBRANCH	23
GRADE 8 ENGLISH	21
GRADE 8 MATHEMATICS	18
CRADE 8 SCIENCE	14
GRADE 850 CIAL STUDIES	21
SRADE 10 ENGLISH	22
GRADE 10 MATHEMATICS	23
GRADE 10 SCIENCE	24
GRADE 10 SOCIAL STUDICS	26
FREE AND REDUCED-	-PRICE LUNCH (2016 - 17)
ELIGIBLE FOR FREE LUNCH	ELIGIBLE FOR REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH
1294 23%	1/0 3%
127	
ATTENDAN	NCE (2015 - 16)
ANNUAL ATTENDANCERATE	95%
STI IDENT SLISDI	ENSIONS (2015 - 16)
310DLN130311	
The state of the s	
227	
TEACHER TURNOVER F	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS
	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)
TEACHER TURNOVER F TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%
TEACHER TURNOVER F TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS
TEACHER TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE 1944  STAFF COU	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHIERS  6%  JNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF
TEACHER TURNOVER F TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  194  STAFF COU  GROUP	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHIERS  6%  JNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8
TEACHER TURNOVER F TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  194  STAFF COU  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHIERS  6%  JNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8
TEACHER TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  194  STAFF COU  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  UNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  8  57
TEACHER TURNOVER F TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  194  STAFF COU  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHIERS  6X  JNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8
TEACHER TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  194  STAFF COU  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONALS	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  JNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8 57 141
TEACHER TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  194  STAFF COU  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONALS	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  UNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  8  57
TEACHER TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  194  STAFF COU  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF  PARAPROPESSIONAL STAFF  PARAPROPESSIONAL STAFF	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  JNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  57  141  FICATIONS (2016 - 17)
TEACHER TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  194  STAFF COU  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF  PARAIPROPESSIONALS  TEACHER QUALIFIED TOTAL TEACHERS	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHIERS  6X  JNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  7  141  FICATIONS (2016 - 17)
TEACHER TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COU  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONALS  TEACHER QUALIFIED  TOTAL TEACHERS  PÉRCENT WITH YOUALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  UNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8 77 141  FICATIONS (2016 - 17)
TEACHER TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  194  STAFF COU  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONALS  TEACHER QUALIFIED  TOTAL TEACHERS  PERCENT WITH NO VALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE  PERCENT TEACHING OUT OF CERTIFICATE	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  JNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  57  141  FICATIONS (2016 - 17)
TEACHER TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER FOR TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  194  STAFF COU  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF  PARCIPROFESSIONAL STAFF  PARCIPROFESSIONALS  TEACHER QUALIF  TOTAL TEACHERS  PÉRCENT WITH 160 VALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE  PERCENT TÉACHING OUT OF CERTIFICATE  FERCENT VITH FEWER IMAN THRÉE YEARS OF EXPÉRIENCE	RATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)  TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  JNTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  57  141  FICATIONS (2016 - 17)

### BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]


## **GRADE 3 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 310

		1110711100		,10						
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED.	PROFICIENT	LEV	/EL.1.	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	/EL4
ALL STUDENTS	366	38%	77	21%	150	41%	133	36%		2%
GENERAL EDUCATION	322	43%	44	14%	140	43%	132	41%		2%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	44	2%	33	75%	10	23%	1	2%	0	0%
MIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	4	_%				NAME OF				
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	3	96								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	11	18%	5	45%	4	36%	2	18%	0	0%
MHITE	330	39%	63	19%	137	42%	125	38%	5	2%
MULTRACIAL	18	22%	6	33%	8	44%	3	17%	1	6%
MALLGROUP TOTAL	7	43%	3	43%	1	14%	3	43%	0	0%
IMALE	192	45%	- 27	14%	79	41%	82	43%	4	2%
MALE	174	30%	50	29%	71	41%	51	29%	2	1%
NON-ENGLISH LANGU AGE LEARNERS	361	38%	77	21%	146	40%	132	37%	6	2%
NGMSHEANEUAGELEARNERS	5	20%	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	102	26%	35	34%	40	39%	26	25%	1	1%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	264	42%	42	16%	110	42%	107	41%	5	2%
NOTMIGRANT	366	38%	77	21%	150	41%	133	36%	6	2%


### **GRADE 4 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	LEVEL 1		/EL2	LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	362	43%	59	16%	149	41%	112	31%	42	12%
GENERAL EDUCATION	311	49%	32	10%	128	41%	109	35%	42	14%
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	51	696	27	53%	21	41%	3	6%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE	1	%	VIEW AS				ANTE C			
ASIAN OR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	2	_%								
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	7	.%								•
HISPANIC OR LATINO	24	29%	6	25%	11	46%	6	25%		4%
WHITE	306	44%	49	16%	121	40%	98	32%	38	12%
MULTIRACIAL	22	36%	2	9%	12	55%		27%	2	9%
MALL GROUP TOTAL	10	30%	2	20%	5	50%	2	20%		10%
FEMALE	187	52%	25	13%	65	35%	69	37%	28	15%

MALE	175	33%	34	19%	84	43%	43	25%	14	8%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	358	_%			-		-			
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1 4	_%			- 5					
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	125	26 6	38	30%	55	44%	24	19%	8	6%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	237	51%	21	9.6	94	40%	88	37%	34	14%
NOTMIGRANT	362	43%	59	16%	149	41%	112	31%	42	12%


### **GRADE 5 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 308

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL4	
ALLSTUDENTS	342	40%	80	23%	124	36%	97	28%	41	12%
GENERAL EDUCATION	299	45%	47	16%	118	39%	93	31%	41	14%
STUDENIS WITH DISABILITIES	43	9%	33	77%	6	14%	4	9%	0	0.6
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	4	_%			- T					
BLACK GRÁFRICAN AMERICAN	3	_%						I was		
HISPANIC OR LATINO	18	33%	Ú	44%	4	22%	4	22%	2	11%
WHITE	301	40%	66	22%	115	38%	85	28%	35	12%
MULTIPACIAL	16	50%	6	38%	2	13%	5	31%	3	19%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	57%	0	0%	3	43%	3	43%	1	14%
FEMALE	163	47%	27	17%	59	36%	49	30%	28	17%
MALET	179	34%	53	30%	65	36%	48	27%	13	7%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	340	*			-		-			1
ÉNGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS	2	ж.					-			
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	95	20%	41	43%	35	37%	12	13%	7	7%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	247	48%	39	16%	89	36%	85	34%	34	14%
NOTMIGRANT	342	40%	80	23%	124	36%	97	28%	41	12%


# **GRADE 6 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEV	LEVEL 2		/EL 3	LEVEL 4	
ALL STUDENTS	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%
GENERAL EDUCATION	269	34%	42	16%	136	51%	60	22%	31	12%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	33	3%	29	88%	3	9%	1	3%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	_%				-				
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	3	e6			_				10.75	
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	5	0%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%

HISPANICOR LATINO	15	20%	8	53%	4	27%	1	7%	2	13%
WHITE	264	30%	56	21%	128	48%	56	21%	24	9%
MULTIRACIAL	13	54%	3	23%	3	23%	4	31%	3	23%
MALL GROUP TOTAL	5	40%	1	20%	2	40%	0	0%	2	40%
EMALE	137	36%	23	17%	65	47%	31	23%	16	13%
MALE	165	26%	48	29%	74	45%	30	18%	13	8%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	62	11%	23	37%	32	52%	5	8%	2	3%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	240	35%	48	20%	107	45%	56	23%	29	12%
IOT MISRAPIT	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%


# **GRADE 7 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



MEAN SCORE: 305

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	LEVEL 1		'EL 2	LEV	LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLGTUDENTS	258	35%	57	22%	111	43%	78	30%	12	5%	
ENERAL EDUCATION	223	40%	29	13%	104	47%	78	35%	12	5%	
TUBENTS WITH OBABILITIES	35	0%	28	80%	7	20%	0	0%	0	0%	
MERICANINDIAN ORALASIA NATIVE	2	*			44,000		45.877			0.6	
SANGENATIVE HAWAIIAN TO THE PACIFIC.	3	×							-	7	
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	3	*							-		
HISPANICORIATINO	16	13%	4	25%	10	63%	2	13%	0	0%	
WHITE	224	38%	42	19%	96	43%	74	33%	12	5%	
MULTIRACIAL	10	10%	5	50%	4	40%	1	10%	0	0%	
PMALEGROUPTOTAL	8	13%	6	75%	1	13%	1	13%	0	0%	
FEMALE	136	39%	27	20%	56	41%	44	32%	9	7%	
MALE	122	30%	30	25%	55	45%	34	28%	3	2%	
NON-ENGUSHIANGUAGELEARNERS	258	35%	57	22%	111	43%	78	30%	12	5%	
CONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	68	12%	34	50%	26	38%	8	12%	0	0%	
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	190	43%	23	12%	85	45%	70	37%	12	6%	
NOTMIGRANT	258	35%	57	22%	111	43%	78	30%	12	5%	


# **GRADE 8 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVI	EL1	LEV	/EL 2	LEV	EL 3	LEV	EL4
ALLISTUDENTS	231	49%	41	18%	76	33%	83	36%	31	13%
GENERALEDUCATION	201	56%	20	10%	49	34%	87	A190	20	150

STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	30	7%	21	70%	7	23%	1	3%	1	3%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	1	_96				-	_			1
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	3	_%								
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN .	5	.%		-		-		-	-	-
HISPANIC OR LATING	11	18%	4	36%	5	45%	2	18%	0	0%
WHITE	199	53%	31	16%	63	32%	76	38%	29	15%
MULTIRACIAL	12	25%	3	25%	6	50%	3	25%	0	0%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	9	44%	3	33%	2	22%	2	22%	2	22%
FEMALE	116	54%	14	12%	39	34%	45	39%	18	16%
MALE	115	44%	27	23%	37	32%	38	33%	13	11%
NON ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	230									
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	.%					-		-	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	57	30%	20	35%	20	35%	15	26%	2	4%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	174	56%	21	12%	56	32%	68	39%	29	17%
NOT MIGRANT	231	49%	41	18%	76	33%	83	36%	31	139


## **GRADE 3 MATHEMATICS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 316

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	363	58%	56	15%	95	. 26%	127	35%	85	23%
GENERALEDUCATION	319	64%	29	9%	85	27%	121	38%	84	26%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	44	16%	27	61%	10	23%	6	14%	1	2%
ASIAN OF NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	4	.%								-
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	3	_%			-					
HISPANICOR LATINO	10	50%	4	40%	1	10%	2	20%	3	30%
WHITE	327	59%	44	13%	89	27%	119	36%	75	23%
MUNTIRACIAL	19	53%	7	37%	2	11%	6	32%	4	21%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	43%	1	14%	3	43%	0	0%	3	43%
FEMALE	189	61%	24	13%	49	26%	69	37%	47	257
MALE	174	55%	32	18%	46	26%	58	33%	38	223
NON-ENGLISH KANGUAGE LEARNERS	358	59%	55	15%	93	26%	126	35%	84	239
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	40%	1	20%	2	40%	1	20%	1	209
ECONOMICALLY DEADVANTAGED	99	39%	28	28%	32	32%	28	28%	11	119
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	264	66%	28	11%	63	24%	99	38%	74	289
NOT MIGRANT	363	58%	56	15%	95	26%	127	35%	85	23


# **GRADE 4 MATHEMATICS**



MEAN SCORE: 312

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	ÆL2 '	LEV	/EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	367	50%	59	16%	125	34%	94	26%	89	24%
SENERAL EDUCATION	313	5796	31	10%	105	34%	88	28%	89	28%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES .	54	11%	28	52%	20	37%	6	11%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1	%								0,0
AGAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAWOTHER PACIFIC	3	%								
ILACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	8	%								
HSPANIC OR LATINO	24	50%	7	29%	5	21%	5	21%	7	29%
<b>Унпе</b>	310	51%	44	14%	108	35%	84	27%	74	24%
	21	33%	5	24%	9	43%	4	19%	3	14%
MALL GROUP TOTAL	12	50%	3	25%	3	25%	1	8%	5	42%
EMALE	183	50%	29	16%	63	34%	45	25%	46	25%
WALE	184	50%	30	16%	62	34%	49	27%	43	23%
NON-ENGLISH KANGUAGE LEARNERS	362	50%	59	16%	121	33%	93	26%	89	25%
NGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	20%	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	121	31%	40	33%	44	36%	24	20%	13	11%
NO TECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	246	59%	19	8%	81	33%	70	28%	76	31%
OTMIGRANT	367	50%	59	16%	125	34%	94	26%	89	24%

## **GRADE 5 MATHEMATICS**



MEAN SCORE: 317


GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	/EL 2	LEVEL 3		LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	336	54%	78	23%	75	22%	120	36%	63	19%
SENERAL EDUCATION	295	60%	53	18%	66	22%	115	39%	61	21%
TUÇENESWITHDISABILITIES	41	17%	25	61%	9	22%	5	12%	2	5%
SIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	4	.%								
HACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	-%								
HISPANIC OR LATING	18	39%	10	56%	1	6%	4	22%	3	17%
NHITE	297	56%	64	22%	67	23%	113	38%	53	18%
<b>JULTIRACIAL</b>	15	47%	3	20%	5	33%	2	13%	5	33%
MALL GROUP TOTAL	6	50%	1	17%	2	33%	1	17%	2	33%
TMALE	158	49%	42	27%	39	25%	46	29%	31	20%
KALE	178	60%	36	20%	36	20%	74	42%	32	18%
ION ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	334	*								
INGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	*						-		- 100
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	89	31%	39	44%	22	25%	17	19%	11	12%
OTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	247	63%	39	16%	53	21%	103	42%	52	21%
OTMICRANT	336	54%	78	23%	75	22%	120	36%	63	1996



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	ÆL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%
GENERAL COUCATION	272	59%	21	8%	91	33%	87	32%	73	27%
TUDENTSWITHDISABILMISS	32	3%	26	81%	5	16%	1	3%	0	0%
AMERICANINDIANORALASKA NATIVE	2	%								
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	3	_%								
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	5	095	3	60%	2	40%	0	048	0	0%
HEPANICOR LATINO	13	31%	5	38%	4	31%	2	15%	2	15%
WHITE	268	53%	36	13%	89	33%	77	29%	66	25%
UULTIRACIAE	13	77%	2	15%	1,	8%	8	62%	2	15%
MALL GROUP TOTAL	5	80%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	3	60%
EMALE	138	51%	20	14%	47	34%	43	31%	28	209
MALE	166	54%	27	16%	49	30%	45	27%	45	27×
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	249
CONOMICIALLY DISADVANTIAGED	65	23%	22	34%	28	43%	12	18%	3	5%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED.	239	61%	25	10%	68	28%	76	32%	70	299
NOTMIGRANT	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%

#### **GRADE 7 MATHEMATICS**

Mean scores and data in the table for grade 7 math include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics. For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 7 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP.



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	38%	18	7%
GENERALEDUÇATION	228	52%	26	11%	84	37%	100	44%	18	8%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	32	0%	23	72%	9	28%	0	0%	0	0%
AMERÎCÂN INDIAN OR AKASKA NATIVE	2	_%								
ASIAN ORNATIVEHAWAHAN/OTHERPACIFIC	3	_96		4.0 0.00						
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	4	_%								
HISPANICOR LATINO	17	24%	5	29%	8	47%	3	18%	1	6%
WHITE	225	50%	34	15%	79	35%	96	43%	16	7%
MULTIRÂCIAL	9	11%	4	44%	4	44%	0	0%	1	113
SWALL GROUP TOTAL	9	11%	6	67%	2	22%	1	11%	0	0%
FEMALE	141	41%	25	18%	58	41%	49	35%	9	6%
MALE	119	50%	24	20%	35	29%	51	43%	9	8%
NON-ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	38%	18	7%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	72	22%	32	44%	24	33%	12	17%	4	6%

NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	188	54%	17	9%	69	37%	88	47%	14	7%
NOTMIGRANT	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	38%	18	7%

## **GRADE 8 MATHEMATICS**

Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 math include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics. For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 NYSTP.



#### MEAN SCORE: 273


GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	/EL 1	LEV	/EL 2	LEV	EL 3	LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	74	5%	46	62%	24	32%	4	5%	0	0%
GENERAL EDUCATION	48	4%	27	56%	19	40%	2	4%	0	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	26	8%	19	73%	5	19%	2	8%	0	0%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	2	*	E VIE							076
HISPANIC OR LATING	7	.%								
WHITE	57	7%	31	54%	22	39%	4	7%	0	0%
MULTIRACIAL	8	. 0%	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	9	0%	В	89%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%
FEMALE	38	5%	25	66%	11	29%	2	5%	0	0%
MALE	36	6%	21	58%	13	36%	2	6%	0	0%
NON-ENGLISHIANGUAGE LEARNERS .	73	*								0%
ENGUSHLANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	96				(b) may (100 m)				-
CONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	27	7%	17	63%	8	30%	2	7%		-
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	47	4%	29	62%	16	34%	2 .	4%	0	0%
NOTMIGRANT	74	5%	46	62%	24	32%	4	5%	0	0%

#### GRADE 8 STUDENTS TAKING A REGENTS MATH TEST

Accelerated grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics.

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	LEVE	1	ŢΕ	VEL 2	LEVE	3	4 & AB	OVE	3&	ABOVE
ALLSTUDENTS	233	0	0%	0	0%	20	9%	213	91%	233	100%


# **GRADE 4 SCIENCE**



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	394	91%	14	4%	23	6%	125	32%	232	59%
GENERALEDUCATION	337	95%	5	1%	12	4%	99	29%	221	66%
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	57	65%	9	16%	11	19%	26	46%	11	19%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1	*								
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	3	<b>%</b>							-	
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	9	.%						-		
HISPANIC OR LATINO	24	88%	1	4%	2	8%	10	42%	11	46%
WHITE	334	91%	13	4%	17	5%	103	31%	201	60%
MULTIRACIAL	23	87%	0	0%	3	13%	6	26%	14	61%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	13	92%	0	0%	1	8%	6	46%	6	46%
FEMALE	200	92%	6	3%	11	6%	61	31%	122	61%
MALE	194	90%	8	4%	12	6%	64	33%	110	57%
NON-ENGLISH DANGUAGELEARNERS	390	_%							-	
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	4	_%	712							-
ÉCONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	136	78%	13	10%	17	13%	56	41%	50	37%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	258	97%	1	0%	6	2%	69	27%	182	71%
NOTMIGRANT	394	91%	14	4%	23	6%	125	32%	232	59%

# **GRADE 8 SCIENCE**

Data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 8 Science Test and grade 8 students who took a Regents science test in lieu of this test. Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 science include only those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 8 Science Test.



#### MEAN SCORE: 64


GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL 2	LEVEL 3		LEV	/EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	63	49%	5	8%	27	43%	31	49%	0	0%
GENERALEDUCATION	42	60%	1	2%	16	38%	25	60%	0	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISCOLLINES	21	29%	4	19%	11	52%	6	29%	0	0%
STACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	<b>%</b>								
HISPANIC OR LATING	ý	33%	0	0%	6	67%	3	33%	0	0%
WHITE	47	57%	4	9%	16	34%	27	57%	0	0%
MULTIRACIAL	5	%						3770		Un
MALL GROUPTOTAL	7	14%	1	14%	5	71%	1	14%	0	0%
EMALE	30	37%	0	0%	19	63%	11	37%	0	0%
MALE	33	61%	5	15%	8	24%	20	61%	0	0%
NO S-ENGLIGHLANGUAGELEARNERS	62	<b>.</b> %						01%		מט
Wellshiansioa seleanners	1	_%	e pro V remandant				4			
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	26	58%	2	8%	9	35%	15	58%	0	O%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	37	43%	3	8%	18	49%	16	43%	0	0%
NOT MIGRANT	63	49%	5	8%	27	43%	31	49%	. 0	0%

#### GRADE 8 STUDENTS TAKING A REGENTS SCIENCE TEST


Accelerated grade 8 students who take a Regents science test in lieu of the New York State Grade 8 Science Test.

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
ALLSTUDENTS	259	99%	0 0%	2 1%	105 41%	152 59%

## RECENTLY ARRIVED ELL STUDENTS (2016 - 17)




# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALL STUDENTS	528	92%	8	2%	5	156	59	11%	429	81%
GENERALEDUCATION	463	97%	0	0%	0	0%	45	10%	402	87%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	63%	8	12%	5	8%	14	22%	27	42%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	_%				-	-			
ASAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	13	<b>%</b>				1	-			-
BLACK OR AFRICAVAMERICAN	15	73%	2	13%	1	7%	6	40%	5	33%
HEPANIC OR LATINO	25	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	8%	23	92%
WHITE	458	93%	5	1%	4	1%	46	10%	381	83%
MULTIPACIAL	15	73%	1	7%	0	0%	4	27%	7	47%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	1	7%	13	87%
FEMALE	250	92%	3	1%	2	1%	16	6%	214	86%
MALE	278	93%	5	2%	3	1%	43	15%	215	77%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	.*					-			
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNING	1	Ж.					- 1			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGEO	120	80%	6	5%	3	3%	23	19%	73	61%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	96%	2	0%	2	0%	36	9%	356	879
NOTMIGRANT	528	92%	8	296	5	1%	59	11%	429	819


# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL MATHEMATICS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	ÆL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	528	91%	8	2%	21	4%	230	44%	253	48%
GENERALEDUCATION	463	96%	2	0%	. 7	2%	201	43%	245	53%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	57%	6	9%	14	22%	29	45%	8	12%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	96						-		70
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	13	_%			-				1	-

ALL STUDENTS	528	90%	4	196	16	3%	124			
GENERALEDUCATION	463	95%	0	0%	5	-		23%	352	67%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	52%	4	6%		1%	106	23%	336	73%
AMERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE	2	*		076	11	17%	18	28%	16	25%
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	13	*					- 5	-		
LACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	15						Lataria.			
HSPANIC OR LATING		73%	0	0%	1	7%	7	47%	4	27%
	25	96%	0	0%	1	4%	8	32%	16	64%
WHITE	458	91%	4	1%	14	3%	101	22%	315	69%
MULTIRACIAL	15	73%	0	0%	0	0%	5	33%	6	40%
MALLGROUPTOTAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	3	20%		
EMALE	250	90%	2	1%	8	3%	60	24%	11	73%
MALE	278	91%	2	1%					164	66%
ON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	_%		170	8	3%	64	23%	188	689
NGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1				1 - 1 - 1 - 1		-		-	
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGES		_96	-		-					
	120	75%	2	2%	9	8%	42	35%	48	40%
OT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTA GED	408	95%	2	0%	7	. 2%	82	20%	304	75%
OT MIGRANT	528	90%	4	1%	16	3%	124	23%	352	67%

# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL SCIENCE AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LE\	/EL1	LEV	/EL 2	LEV	/EL3	LEV	/EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	528	92%	4	1%	17	3%	151	29%	IEIDSP1916	AR MEGE
GENERAL EDUCATION	463	96%	1	0%	8	2%	122		334	63%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	62%	3	5%	9	14%		26%	323	70%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	_%				14%	29	45%	11	17%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	13	*			-		7	-		10 at - 20
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	15	73%	1					-	1 2	-
HISPANIC OR LATINO	25	92%		7%	2	13%	7	47%	4	27%
WHITE	458	93%	0	0%	0	0%	12	48%	11	44%
MULTIRACIAL	15		2	046	14	3%	126	28%	301	66%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	Production of the last of the	67,%	1	7%	1	7%	4	27%	6	40%
FEMALE	15	93%	0	099	0	0%	2	13%	12	80%
MALE	250	91%	2	1%	7	3%	75	30%	153	61%
	278	92%	2	1%	10	4%	76	27%	181	65%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LÉARNERS	527	_%								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	%								
economically disadvantaged	120	80%	3	3%	9	8%	52	43%	44	37%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	95%	1	0%	8	2%	99	24%	290	71%
NOTMIGRANT	528	92%	4	1%	17	3%	151	29%	334	63%

Regents Examination Results (2016 - 17)

# ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)

GROUP

TOTAL TESTED

LEVEL 1

LEVEL 2


LEVEL 3

LEVEL 4

LEVEL 5


BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	15	80%	3	20%	0	0%	10	67%	2	13%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	25	96%	0	0%	0	0%	15	60%	9	36%
WHITE	458	92%	4	1%	19	4%	194	4296	229	50%
MULTIRACIAL	15	73%	0	0%	2	13%	7	47%	4	27%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	87%	1	7%	0	0%	4	27%	9	60%
FEMALE	250	92%	2	1%	10	4%	103	41%	126	50%
MALE	278	91%	6	2%	11	4%	127	46%	127	46%
NON-ENGLISH BANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	_%			-					
ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS	1	_%				-	-			-
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	84%	6	5%	7	6%	76	63%	25	21%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	94%	2	0%	14	3%	154	38%	228	56%
NOT MIGRANT	528	91%	8	2%	21	4%	230	44%	253	48%

### TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEVI	EL2	LEVI	EL3	, LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	528	89%	ý	2%	24	5%	175	33%	293	55%
SENERAL EDUCATION	463	95%	2	0%	12	3%	159	34%	280	60%
TUDENTS WITH MEABILITIES	65	45%	7	11%	12	18%	16	25%	13	20%
MERICAN INBIÁNORALASKÁ NATIVE	2	_%			1 2 3 3 A					-
SIAN OF NATIVE HAWADAN OTHER PACIFIC.	13	.%			-4					-
LACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	15	73%	1	7%	1	7%	8	53%	3	20%
INPANIC OF LATINO	25	92%	0	0%	0	0%	12	48%	11	44%
WHITE	458	90%	8	2%	20	4%	147	32%	263	57%
MULTIRACIAL	15	67%	0	0%	3	20%	6	40%	4	27%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	2	13%	12	80%
EMALE	250	88%	2	1%	13	5%	83	33%	136	54%
MALE	278	90%	7	3%	11	4%	92	33%	157	56%
NON-ENGLISH EAINGUAGE LEARNERS	527	.%	-							
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	_%				-			A. A	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	73%	5	4%	13	11%	52	43%	36	30%
NOT FCONDMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	93%	4	1%	11	3%	123	30%	257	63%
NOTMIGRANT	528	89%	9	2%	24	5%	175	33%	293	55%

## TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL U.S. HISTORY AND GOVERNMENT AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



ALL STUDENTS	457	20	4%	9	2%	49	1196	61	13%	318	
GENERALEDUCATION	410	9	2%	5	1%	39	10%	46	11%		70%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	47	11	23%	4	9%	10	21%	15		311	76%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASICA NATIVE	3						\$1/0		32%	7	15%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	8			-			-			-	
LACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	14	3	21%	1	7%		7%				
HISPANIC OR LATING	11	0	0%	0		1		3	21%	6	43%
ÑHITE .	407	17			0%	2	18%	1	9%	8	73%
MULTIRACIAL		The second	4%	7	2%	43	11%	56	14%	284	70%
MALLGROUP TOTAL	14	0	D%	1	7%	3	21%	1	7%	9	64%
	11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
EMALE	209	3	1%	3	1%	15	7%	20	10%	168	80%
MALE	248	17	7%	6	2%	34	14%	41	17%	150	-
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	457	20	4%	9	2%	49	11%	61	13%		60%
CONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	105	10	10%	4	4%	24				318	70%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	352	10	3%				23%	25	24%	42	40%
NOTMICRANT				5	196	25	7%	36	10%	276	78%
	457	20	4%	9	2%	49	11%	61	13%	318	70%

# ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY

# REGENTS ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY

GROUP	TOTALTESTED		55	6	55	8	85 <sup>)</sup>
ALLSTUDENTS	15	8	53%		40%	3	20%
GENERALEDUCATION	14						
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	1						
ASIANORNATIVE HAWAITAN OTHER PACIFIC	1		0.00			-	
WHITE	13						
MULTIRACIAL	1		To be designed to				
SMALL GROUP TO YAL	15	8	53%	6	40%	3	20%
FEMALE	10	4	40%	2	20%		10%
MALE	5	4	80%		80%	2	40%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNIERS	15	8	53%	6	40%		And the second second
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	3					3	20%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	12			-		-	-
NOTMIGRANT	15	8	53%	6	40%	3	20%

# ALGEBRA I (COMMON CORE)

#### ALGEBRA I (COMMON CORE)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4	LEV	EL5
ALL STUDENTS	599	22	4%	41	7%	170	28%	185	31%	181	30%
GENERAL EDUCATION	514	3	1%	20	4%	136	26%	177	34%	178	35%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	85	19	22%	21	25%	34	40%	8	9%	3	4%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2		-			-					
ASTAN OR NATTVE HAWAILAN OTHER PACIFIC	13					-					1
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	15	2	13%	2	13%	9	60%	2	1.3%	0	0%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	17	0	0%	1	6%	8	47%	4	24%	4	24%
WHITE STATE OF THE	535	20	4%	38	7%	145	27%	163	30%	169	32%
MUNTIRACIAL	17	Ó	0%	0	0%	5	29%	9	53%	3	18%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	0	0%	0	0%	3	20%	7	47%	5	33%
FEMALE	289	9	3%	13	4%	72	25%	102	35%	93	32%
MALE	310	13	4%	28	9%	98	32%	83	27%	88	28%
NON-ENGLISH KANGUA GELEATIVETE	594	22	4%	41	7%	168	28%	183	31%	180	30%
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	0	0%	0	0%	2	40%	2	40%	1	209
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	167	14	8%	18	11%	82	49%	35	21%	18	119
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGES	432	8	2%	23	5%	88	20%	150	35%	163	389
NOTMIGRANE	599	22	4%	41	796	170	28%	185	31%	181	309

# **COMMON CORE GEOMETRY**

### REGENTS COMMON CORE GEOMETRY

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	LEVI	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4	LEVI	EL5
ALLSTUDENTS	429	43	10%	61	14%	165	38%	49	11%	111	26%
GENERAL EOUCATION	416	40	10%	57	14%	160	38%	48	12%	111	27%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	13	3	23%	4	31%	5	38%	1	8%	0	0%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	5	0	0%	O	0%	2	40%	0	0%	3	60%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN .	7	4	57%	2	29%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	13	2	15%	2	15%	6	46%	2	15%	1	8%
WHITE	393	34	9%	54	14%	151	38%	47	12%	107	27%
MULTIRACIAL	ii .	3	27%	3	27%	5	45%	0	0%	0	0%
FEMALE .	205	19	9%	29	14%	74	36%	27	13%	56	27%
MALE	224	24	11%	32	14%	91	41%	22	10%	55	25%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	427		4								
DIGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS	2	-4		-	-		1				100
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	83	15	18%	22	27%	32	39%	9	11%	5	6%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	346	28	8%	39	11%	133	38%	40	12%	106	3196
NOT MIGRANT	429	43	10%	61	14%	165	38%	49	11%	111	26%

# ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

# ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	/EL4	LEV	/EL5
ALLSTUDENTS	315	13	4%	21	7%	153	49%	84	27%	44	
GENERALEDUCATION	304	13	4%	18	6%	146	48%	83	27%	44	14%
STUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES 1	11	0	0%	3	27%	7	64%	1	9%		14%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2								7.6	0	0%
ASIANORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHERPACIFIC.	7	0	0%	0	0%	3	43%	2	29%		-
BUCKORAFRICANAMERICAN	4						-0.0		27%	2	29%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	7	1	14%		14%	4	57%		14%		
WHITE	288	10	3%	19	7%	139	48%	78		0	0%
MULTIRACIAL.	7	1	14%	1	14%	4	57%		27%	42	15%
SMALL GROUPTOTAL	6	1	17%	0	0%	3	50%	1	14%	0	046
FEMALE	166	11	7%	13	8%	67	40%	2	33%	0	0%
MALE	149	2	1%	8	5%	86		50	30%	25	15%
NON-ENGUSH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	315	13	4%	21	7%	-	58%	34	23%	19	13%
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	51	7	14%	6	12%	153	49%	84	27%	44	14%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	264	6	2%	15		28	55%	8	16%	2	4%
NOTMIGRANT	315	13	4%	21	6% 7%	125 153	47% 49%	76 84	29% 27%	42	16%

# GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY

# REGENTS GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	5	5		55	8	5
ALLSTUDENTS	497	444	89%	402	81%	218	44%
GENERALEDUCATION	422	398	94%	370	88%	213	50%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	75	46	61%	32	43%	213 5	
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1						7%
AGAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	4						
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	14	8	57%	6	43%	0	-
HISPANICORIATINO	14	13	93%	13	93%	5	0%
WHITE	452	408	90%	370	82%	205	36%
MULTIRACIAL	12	10	83%	8	67%		45%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	5	5	100%	5	100%	3 5	25%
FEMALE	235	225	96%	201	86%	106	100%
MALE	262	219	84%	201	77%		45%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	494					112	43%
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	3						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	143	117	82%	96			<u> </u>
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	354	327	9216	306	67%	32	22%
NOTMIGRANT	497	444	89%	402	86%	186 218	53% 44%

### U.S. HISTORY & GOVERNMENT

#### REGENTS U.S. HISTORY & GOVERNMENT

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	5	55		5	85	
ALLSTUDENTS	462	446	97%	424	92%	301	65%
GENERALEDUCATION	416	407	98%	396	95%	289	69%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	46	39	85%	28	61%	12	26%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	3						
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	8			and any or any			
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	17	15	88%	12	71%	3	16%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	10	10	100%	9	90%	7	70%
WHITE	410	396	97%	378	92%	276	67%
MULTIRACIAL	14	14	100%	14	100%	6	43%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	11	11	1,00%	11,	100%	9	82%
FEMALE	218	214	98%	202	93%	144	66%
MALE	244	232	95%	222	91%	157	64%
NON-ENGUSHLANGUAGELEARNERS	462	446	97%	424	92%	301	65%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	115	106	92 6	92	80%	45	39%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	347	340	98%	332	96%	256	74%
NOTMIGRANT	462	446	97%	424	92%	301	65%

#### LIVING ENVIRONMENT

#### REGENTS LIVING ENVIRONMENT

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	55		65		8	5
ALLSTUDENTS	719	695	97%	663	92%	380	53%
BENERAL EDUCATION	656	645	98%	627	96%	370	56%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES.	63	50	79%	36	57%	10	16%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2						
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHER PACIFIC	16	16	100%	16	100%	13	B1%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	14		-				
HISPANICORIATING	19	19	100%	17	89%	7	37%
WHITE	647	627	97%	603	93%	353	55%
MULTIRACIAL	21	21	100%	19	90%	5	24%
SMALLGROUPTOTAL	16	12	75%	8	50%	2	13%
FEMALE	356	348	98%	331	93%	180	51%
MALE	363	347	96%	332	91%	200	55°6
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS	715	le i e					
ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS	4						• •
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	160	143	89%	120	75%	38	24%
NETECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	559	552	99%	543	97%	342	61%
NOTMIGRANT	719	695	97%	663	92%	380	53%

# PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS

# REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED		55		65	1	35
ALLSTUDENTS	67	67	100%				
GENERAL EDUCATION .	67	67		65	97%	42	63%
ASTAN OR NATTVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	i	9,	100%	65	97%	42	63%
HACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	2		-		-		
AISPANIC OR LATING	1	-	-			-	
VHITE	of manes a reary assessment and						
MULTIRACIAL	61	61	100%	59	97%	39	64%
MALL GROUP TO YAL	2						
EMALE	6	6	100%	6	100%	3	50%
MALE	25	25	100%	25	100%	15	60-6
	42	42	1.00%	40	95%	27	64%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	67	67	100%	65	97%	42	
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	1						63%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	66						
OTMIGRANT	67	67	100%	65	nav		
				03	97%	42	63%

# NEW YORK STATE ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT (NYSAA) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

GROUP	TOTALTEE			The state of the s	2012/4	
Mark Colors	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
GRABERISA SE	3 2 2 3	%	Will Self the Name	STITUE VEIC ASSESS		6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 4 5 2
CRAGESMATH	3	<b>%</b>				
srade 4ela	1	*			•	
BRADESMATH	1	*				
GRADEASCIENCE	2	-%				
SRADE 5 FLA	2	-%		*		
GRADES MATH	2	*				-
SKABE6HLA	2	*				
RADEGMATH	2	The same state and the same			The same of the sa	
RADE 7 ELA		_%		-		
RADE7 MATH	1	_%		-		
RADEBELA	7	_%				
RADESMATH	7	100%	0	O	7	0
RADES SCIENCE	6	43%	1	3 .	3	0
ECONDARY-LEVELELA	3	83%	. 0	1	5	0
ECONDARY-LEVEL MATH	3	_%				
ECONDARY-LEVEL SCIENCE		-%				
ECONDARY-LEVELSOCIAL STUDIES	3	_%			- 1	12 14 2
	3	36				

# NEW YORK STATE ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE ACHIEVEMENT TEST (NYSESLAT) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
LL STUDENTS	2					
ENERAL EDUCATION	2					

# PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

# REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	5	6	5	85		
ALLSTUDENTS	67	47	70%	33	49%	5	7%
ENERAL EDUCATION	32	27	84%	19	59%	3	9%
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	35	20	57%	14	40%	2	6%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	2		Caracana Tanana				-
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	٠٠٠٠ - د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د			
HISPANIC OR LATING	3						-
WEISTE	55	40	73%	29	53%		7%
MULTIRACIAL	3		-				-
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	12	7	58%	4	33%	1	8%
FEMALÉ	24	15	63%	11	46%	1	4%
MACE	43	32	74%	22	51%	4	9%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	66	A. S.		-	-		
ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS	1		and the second second second				
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	33	22	67%	14	42%	1	3*
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	34	25	74%	19	56%	4	129
NOTMIGRANT	67	47	70%	33	49%	5	7%

# PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY

## REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY

	KEGLINISTITIS	JOAL SE !		AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF		V 2010 1 2 1 1 1	A 18.55
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	51	55		5	8	5
ELSTUDENTS	430	401	93%	347	81%	96	22%
ENERAL EDUCATION	419	391	93%	342	82%	95	23%
TUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	11	10	91%	5	45%	i	9%
MERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	3		-			-	
NANORNATIVEHAWAIIAWOTHER PACIFIC	7	6	86%	5	71%	2	29%
LACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	4						
ISPANIC OR LATING	10	10	100%	8	80%	0	0%
/HITE	397	372	94%	323	81%	93	23%
IULTIRACIAL	9	6	67%	6	67%	0	0%
UALL GROUP TOTAL	7	7	100%	5	71%	1	14%
EMALE	222	209	94%	183	82%	56	25%
MALE	208	192	92%	164	79%	40	19%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	429						1 20
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1						
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	69	60	87%	46	67%	10	14%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	361	341	94%	301	83%	86	24%
NOTMIGRANT	430	401	93%	347	81%	96	22%

Challe						
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING .
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION	6	0%	33%	17%	50%	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	1					
<b>SECTION</b>		GR/4				
: GROUP	TOTAL TESTED ·	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION	5	0%	0%	0%	60%	20%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	1					
		GRA	OE 3			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING -	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS	1 1	0%	14%	0%	57%	29%
GENERALEDUCATION	7	0%	14%	0%	57%	29%
		GRA	DE4			
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
AUSTUDENTS	5	0%	0%	20%	40%	40%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	1 i					
		GRA	ADE 5			
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	,	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS	[c. 2	i i i	EMERGING	, TIVALYSTATOMING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
GENERALEDUCATION	2					
		GRA	NDE 8			
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING		TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS				All San Barrers		COMMANDING
GENERAL EDUCATION	1					
		GRA	ADE 9			
GROUP	TOTALTESTED			TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS	4					i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
GENERALEDUCATION	4					
Property of the last		GRA	DE 10			
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING		TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
			to the fall of the second of the term		. The state of the	COMMANDING

ALL STUDENTS:	3					
GENERAL EDUCATION	3					
		GRAI	)E 12			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
						1 国际发展过去报记载
ALLSTUDENTS	1					
COURT AND THE CONTROL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	A CONTROL OF STREET OF STREET AND STREET					

BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

Geour	MADEAYP	1E51E0 93%	STUDENTS ENROLLED DUPING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION FERIOD	STUDENTSWITH	FF - EAMO OR SAFETIATION TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED OFF FEDSDAY	[PI	EALIO	SAFE HARBOR TARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	5,050*	74%*	YES	1.848	120	108	108
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE		-	7		_	6			
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	NO	NO	87"	67%	NO	530	77	85	82
HEPANIC OR LATINO	NO	NO	231*	80%*	NO	94	88	92	92
ASIAN OR NATIVEHAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	_		25			20			
WHITE	NO	NO	4,432*	73%	YES	1,614	122	121	121
MULTIRACIAL	NO	NO	228*	73%*	YES	88	111	101	101
STUDENTS/WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	751"	68%*	NO	272†	47t	78	62
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIEN	2002	-	15			12		_	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTA 659	NO	NO	1,486*	70%*	NO	504	88	97	94

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CROUP.	STUDENTS ENPOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION FERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDE: (TSETIROLLED ON BEDS DAY	<b>9</b> 1
NOT AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE	5,035*	74%	1,842	120
NOTELAGOORAFRICANAMERICAN	4,963*	74%	1,822	120
NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	4,819'	73%	1,754	121
OT ASIAN DE NATIVE HAVAIIA VOTHER PA	4,993*	73%*	1,828	119
OT WHITE	618*	76%	234	100
OT MULTIPACIAL	4,822*	74%	1,760	120
ENERALEDUCATION	4,299*	75%	1,604	131
NGU 91 PROFICIENT	5,024*	73%*	1,836	120
LOT ECONOMICAL V DISADVANTA SED	3.564*	75%*	1 344	132
MALE	2,570*	73%*	922	108
EMALE	2,480*	74%'	926	131
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOT MIGRANT	5.050*	74%*	1.848	120

<sup>-</sup> There were fever than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

The percentage of students the sted in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate circlerion.

Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

This group falled to meet the participation criterion and had fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so data for the current and previous year were combined to determine a Performance Index.

determine a Performance Index

ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	5.042*	75%*	YES	1,923	140	107	107
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	- =		7	-		6			-
BLACK OF AFRICAN AMERICAN	NO	МО	88*	61%*	NO	470	72	80	78
HKPANIC OR LATINO	NO	NO	228*	80%*	YES	94	103	90	90
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN/OTHER PACIFIC.		-	25		_	22			
WHITE	NO	NO	4,428	75%*	YES	1,691	144	118	118
MULTIRACIAL	NO	NO	226	73%"	YES	88	116	95	95
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	. NO	NO	750°	66%*	NO	269†	62†	79	74
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-		15			13		-	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	NO	1,482*	68%'	YES	498	100	95	95

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	STUDENTS ENROLLED BURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCOPES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	il de la companya de
OTAMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	5,027	75%	1,917	140
NOT BLACK OF AFRICAN AMERICAN	4,954*	76%	1,901	141
NOTHERANIC OR LATING	4.814	75%	1,829	142
NOTASIAN OF NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PA	4,985*	75%*	1,901	140
NOTWHITE SEE	614*	75%*	232	111
NOTMULTINACIAL	4,816'	75%	1 835	141
GENERAL EDUCATION	4.292*	77%	1,681	152
ENGLEH PROJECTION	5.016*	75%	1.910	140
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	3,560	79%'	1,425	154
MALE	2,566	75%*	955	141
FEMALE	2,476	76%	968	140
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOT MIGRANT	5.042*	75%	1,923	140

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PJ, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

This group failed to meet the participation criterion and had fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so data for the current and previous year were combined to determine a Performance Index.

#### ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL SCIENCE RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

GROW!	MADEAYP	TESTED 80%	STUDENTS EUROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION FERIOD	STUDENTSWITH	PROGRESS TARGE	TESTED STUDENTS T ENROLLED ON BEOSDAY	P	EAMO;	PROGRESS TARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	YES	845	86%	YES	716	188	185	185
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	-		2			2			
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	-		16		_	12	_		
HISPANICORIATINO	YES	YES	43	86%	YES	37	173	165	1
ASIAN OF NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	-	-	9			9		-	
WHITE	NO	YES	727	86%	NO	619	190	191	191
MULTIRACIAL	NO	NO	81*	74%*	YES	37	178	178	1
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	246"	70%	YES	104†	153†	165	150
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-	-	5		- 1	5		-	-

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CROUP	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ACMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WIT VALID TEST SCORES	H TESTED STUDENTS EMPOLLED ON BEDS DAY	PI,
NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OF ALASKA NATIVE	843	86%	714	188
NOT BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	829	86%	704	188
NOT HEPANIC OR LATINO	802	86%	679	189
A PARTON ALLAWALLAW TO NAPLATON	836	86%	707	188
NOTWHITE	118	83%	97	177
NOT MULTIRACIAL	797	86%	679	189
GENERÂLEDUCATION	710	89%	625	194
ENGLISHPROFICIENT	840	86%	711	188
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTA 620	586	90%	523	194
MALE	422	84%	350	187
FEMALE	423	87%	366	189
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOT MIGRANT	845	86%	716	188

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Progress Target data are suppressed.

\*The percentage of students setted in the current year fell below 80 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### SECONDARY-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

CROLP :	MADEAYP	TESTED 75%	12TH GRADERS	PERCENT OF 12TH GRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	PLATEAMO OR SAFEMARBOR TARGET	2013 ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT MEMBERS	Į <b>T</b>	EAICO	SAFE HALBOR FARGET
ALL STUDENTS	YES	YES	513	99%	YES	499	180	173	173
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	-	—	3	-	-	0			-
BLACKORAFRICAN AMERICAN	-		12	_	-	15			
HISPANICORLATINO	-		24			25			_
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.			13			13			
WHITE	YES	YES	453	99%	YES	435	183	181	181
MULTIRACIAL	-	_	8			11			
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	YES	55	95%	NO	60t	122†	132	132
UMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT			0			1		_	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	YES	113	97%	NO	108	152	158	158

### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CPOUP	12TH GPADERS	PERCENT OF 12TH GRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	2013 ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT MEMBERS	1

NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	501	99%	484	182
NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	489	99%	474	180
NOTASIAN OR NATIVEHAWAII ANOTHERPAL	500	99%	486	180
NOTWHITE	60	100%	64	164
NOT MULTIRACIAL	505	99%	488	161
GENERALEDUCATION	458	100%	440	188
ENGLISHPROFICIENT	513	99%	498	181
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	400	100%	391	188
MALE	273	99%	265	176
FEMALE	240	99%	234	185
MIGRANT	0		0	-
NOT MIGRANY	513	99%	499	180

<sup>-</sup> There were fewer than 40 12th graders, so the Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 students in the 2013 accountability cohort, so PI. EAMO. and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.
† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities he cause the number of students with a student with a student with the number of students with a student with the number of students wit

#### SECONDARY-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

Group	MADEAVP	TESTED 95%	12TH GRADERS	PERCENT OF 12TH GPADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	PL>FEAMOOR EATE HAPBOR	ACCOUNTABILITY	(P)	EAMO	SAFE HARBOR TARGET
				VAGD TEST SCORES	TARGET	COHORTHEMBERS			
ALL STUDENTS	NO	YES	513	99%	NO	499	153	160	160
AMERICANINDIAN GRAEASKA NATIVE	-	-	3			0	-		-
BEACKORAFRICANAMERICAN		_	12			15	-		_
HEPANIC OR LATING	_		24			25	_		
ASIAN GRNATIVE HAWAITAN OTHER PACIFIC			13			13			_
WHITE	NO	YES	453	100%	NO	435	155	170	170
MULTIRACIAL	_	- 1	8			11			
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	NO	YES	55	98%	NO	60†	B2+	124	112
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-	-	0	-		1			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	YES	113	99%	NO	108	120	144	142

# RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	12TH CRADERS	PERCENT OF 12TH GRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES.	2013 ACCOUNTABILITY CONORT MEMBERS	n to
NOTAMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	510	99%	499	153
NOT BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	501	99%	484	155
NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	489	100%	474	154
NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PA	500	99%	486	153
NOT WHITE	60	93%	64	139
NOFMULTIRACIAL	505	99%	488	154
GENERALEDUCATION	458	100%	440	163
ENGLISH PROFICIENT	513	99%	498	154
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	400	100%	391	162
MALE	273	99%	265	151
FEMALE	240	100%	234	156
MIGRANT	0		0	

— There were fewer than 40 12th graders, so the Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 students in the 2013 accountability cohort, so PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.
† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### UNWEIGHTED COMBINED ELA AND MATH PIS

CROUP	ELEMENTARY MIDDLE-LEVEL ELAPI	ELEMENTARY/ MIDDLE-LEVEL MATH PL	SÉCONDARY-LEVEL ELA PI	SECONDAPY-LEVEL MATH PL	MIVEIGHTED COMBINED (
ALL STUDENTS	120	140	180	153	148
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE					0
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN					o
HISPANICOR LATINO	88	103		1	96
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC					0
WHITE	122	144	183	155	151
MULTIRACIAL	111	116			114
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	47	62	122	82	78
UMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT					0
ECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	88	100	152	120	115

<sup>--</sup> There were not enough students to determine a Performance Index.

#### **OVERALL GRADUATION RATE FOR ACCOUNTABILITY**

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: YES

GROUP	MADE AYP
ALL STUDENTS	YES
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	
HISPANIC OR LATINO	
ASIAN OR NATEVEHAWAITAN/OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	
NHITE	YES
MULTIRACIÁL	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	ÝES
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	
CONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED.	YES

<sup>-</sup> There were not enough students to make an AYP determination.

#### FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

CROW	MET GRADUATION-PATE CRITERION.	2012 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION RATE TOTAL COHORT	GPADUATION RATE	STATE STANDARD	PROGRESS TAPGET
ALL STUDENTS	VES	464	92%	80%	80%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	-	7		_	
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN		4			-
HISPANIC OR LATINO	- 1	16			
ASIAN OR NATIVE HÁWAIJAN/OTHER PACIFIC		8			
WHITE	YES	417	92%	80%	80%
MULTIRACIAE		12			
STUDENTEWATHOISABILITIES	YES	56†	70%t	80%	69%
LIMITEDENGLISHPROFICIENT		0			
ECONOMICALLY DRABVANTABED	YES	99	83%	80%	80%

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.

NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

— There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

GROUP	MET GRADUATION-RATE CRITERION	2011 FIVE HEAR GRADUATION RATE TOTAL COHORT	GRADUATION RATE	STATESTANDARD	PROGPESS TARGET
ALLSTÜDENTS	YES	483	94%	80%	80%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE		2			
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN		9			_
ISPANIC OR LATINO		10			
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.		9			
WHITE	YES	442	95%	80%	80%
MULTIRACIAL		11		-	FA - 3
TUDENTS WITH DESABILITIES	YES	54†	69%†	80%	68%
UMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	_	1		_	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	YES	86	83%	80%	80%

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.

NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### GRADUATION RATES FOR NON-AYP GROUPS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

GROUP	FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION	-RATE TOTAL COHORT	FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHOR			
	2012 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT	GRADUATION RATE	2011 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT	GRADUATION RATE		
OT AMERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE	457	93%	481	94%		
OT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	460	92%	474	95%		
OTHEPANIC OR LATING	448	92%	473	94%		
OTASIANORNATIVEHAWAIIAWOTHERPA.	456	92%	474	94%		
OTWHITE	47	91%	41	90%		
IOT MULTIRACIAL	452	92%	472	94%		
ENERAL EDUCATION	408	95%	430	97%		
NGLIGHPROFICIENT	464	92%	482	94%		
igt economically disadvantaged	365	95%	397	97%		
ALE	247	90%	250	91%		
EMALE	217	95%	233	97%		
AIGRANT	0		0			
NOT MIGRANT	464	92%	483	91%		

<sup>---</sup> There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

# $Graduation\,Rates\,for\,Regents\,with\,Advanced\,Designation\,and\,CTE\,Endorsement\,for\,Accountability$

 $Percentage of 2012 \,Graduation-Rate \,Total \,Cohort \,rnembers \,who \,graduated \,as \,of \,August \,31, \,2016 \,with: \,1.000 \,M_{\odot}$ 

RESENTS DIPLONG WITH AN ADVANCED CESIGNATION ITHIS DISTRICT)	56%	
REJENTS DIFLOMA WITH AN ADVANCED CESISHATION ISTATEMIDS)	31.6	
PERCENTAGE IN THIS DISTRICT EXCEEDED STATE WIDE	YES	
PEGENTS DIPLOMA WITH CTE ENDORSEMENT (THIS DISTRICT)	75	
Marins of the fermion William and the state of the state	5%	
# 1 for the parameter of the texts	YES	
	JCATION DEPARTMENT, ALL RIGHTSIRESERVED. ATED ON: APRIL 24, 2018, 2:28 PM EST	

#### BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

#### HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

G-0U2	COMPLETES (GRADUA	A TES+ CONTIENCEMENT CH	EDENTIALS)	GRADUATES RECIENTS	LOCAL DIPLOMASI		PEGENTS DIPLO	) V.
ALL STUDENTS		508		49	principal to		473	95%
GENERAL EDUCATION		448		44	1		413	99%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES		60		49			30	61%
GROUP	RECENTS WITH ADV	ANCED DESIGNATION	REGENTS WITH	CTE ENDORSEMENT	LOCA	LOIPLOMAS	195/13MMCD	ENT CREDENTIALS
ALL STUDENTS	285	57%	29	6),	24	5%	11	24
GENERAL EDUCATION	276	62%	25	6%	5	1%	О	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	9	18%	4	8%	19	39%	11	18%

#### HIGH SCHOOL NON-COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

GROUP		DROPPED OUT		HOOL EQUIVALENCY FREPARATION COGRAM	HONLATCI	COMPLETERS
ALL STUDENTS	21	1"4	2	0%	21	1%
GENERAL EDUCATION	16	1%	0	0%	16	18
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	5	2%	2	1%	7	3%

#### POST-GRADUATION PLANS OF COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

CiOns	ŢO FOUR-YE	AR COLLEGE	to IMO-YE	AP COLLEGE	TOOTHERPO	ST-SECONDARY	TOTHE	MILITARY
ALL STUDENTS	282	5672	156	31.6	8	2%	10	2%
GENERAL EDUCATION	274	61%	127	28%	2	0%	10	29
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	8	13%	29	48%	6	10%	0	0%
GROUP	то ямр	OYMENT	TO ADUL	T SERVICES	TOOTHER	NOWN PLANS	PLANSU	NK HONNI
ALL STUDENTS	44	9%	1	0%	4	1%	3	1%
GENERAL EDUCATION	29	6%	0	0%	4	1%	2	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	15	25%	1	2%	o	0%	1	216

 $\Phi$  COPYRIGHT NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. THIS DOCUMENT WAS CREATED ON: APRIL 24, 2018, 2:30 PM EST

#### FISCAL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY (2016 - 17)

#### INFORMATION ABOUT EXPENDITURE RATIOS (2015 - 16)

(Data are lagged a year.)


Commissioner's Regulations require that certain expenditure ratios for general-education and special-education students be reported and compared with ratios for similar districts and all public schools. The required ratios for this district are reported below.

The numbers used to compute the statistics on this page were collected on the State Aid Form A, the State Aid Form F, the School District Annual Financial Report (ST-3), and from the Student Information Repository System (SIRS).

#### THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT

# GENERAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES \$52,548,115 PUPILS PUPILS FUPILS EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL \$9,482 \$24,730

#### SIMILAR DISTRICT GROUP AVERAGE NEED/RESOURCE CAPACITY



#### **ALL SCHOOL DISTRICTS**

# GENERAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES \$33,423,609,457 PUPILS PUPILS 2,649,519 EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL SPECIAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES \$14,485,942,729 PUPILS PUPILS 460,996 EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL

Instructional Expenditures for General Education are K-12 expenditures for classroom instruction (excluding Special Education) plus a proration of building level administrative and instructional support expenditures. These expenditures include amounts for instruction of students with disabilities in a general-education setting. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

\$31,423

\$12.615

The pupil count for General Education is K-12 average daily membership plus K-12 pupils for whom the district pays tuition to another school district. This number represents all pupils, including those classified as having disabilities and those not classified, excluding only students with disabilities placed out of district. Pupils resident in the district but attending a charter school are included. For districts in which a county jail is located, this number includes incarcerated youth to whom the district must provide an education program.

Instructional Expenditures for Special Education are K-12 expenditures for students with disabilities (including summer special education expenditures) plus a proration of building-level administrative and instructional support expenditures. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

The pupil count for Special Education is a count of K-12 students with disabilities for the school year plus students for whom the district receives tultion from another district plus students for whom the district pays tuition to another district. Students attending the State schools at Rome and Batavia, private placements and out-of-state placements are included.

Instructional Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Instructional Expenditures to Pupils. The total cost of instruction for students with disabilities may include both general- and special-education expenditures. Special-education services provided in the general-education classroom may benefit students not classified as having disabilities.

#### TOTAL EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL


THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT	SIMILAR DISTRICT GROUP	NYSTATE
\$17,873	\$21,790	\$23,361

Total Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Total Expenditures to Pupils. Total Expenditures include district expenditures for classroom instruction, as well as expenditures for transportation, debt service, community service and district-wide administration that are not included in the Instructional Expenditure values for General Education and Special Education. As such, the sum of General Education and Special Education instructional Expenditures does not equal the Total Expenditures.

### INFORMATION ABOUT STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (2016 - 17)


Commissioner's Regulations require reporting students with disabilities by the percent of time they are in general education classrooms and the classification rate of students with disabilities. These data are to be compared with percentages for similar districts and all public schools. The required percentages for this district are reported below.

# STUDENT PLACEMENT (PERCENT OF TIME INSIDE REGULAR CLASSROOM)



The source data for the statistics in this table were reported through the Student Information Repository System (SIRS) and verified in Verification Report 5. The counts are numbers of students reported in the least restrictive environment categories for school-age programs (ages 6-21) on BEDS Day, which is the first Wednesday of the reporting year. The percentages represent the amount of time students with disabilities are in general-education classrooms, regardless of the amount and cost of special-education services they receive. Rounding of percentage values may cause them to sum to a number slightly different from 100%.

# SCHOOL-AGE STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CLASSIFICATION RATE



This rate is a ratio of the count of school-age students with disabilities (ages 4-21) to the total enrollment of all school-age students in the school district, including students who are parentally placed in nonpublic schools located in the school district. The numerator includes all school-age students for whom a district has Committee on Special Education (CSE) responsibility to ensure the provision of special-education services. The denominator includes all school-age students who reside in the district. In the case of parentally placed students in nonpublic schools, it includes the number of students who attend the nonpublic schools located in the school district. Source data are drawn from the Basic Education Data System (BEDS).

Similar District Groups are identified according to the Need-to-Resource-Capacity Index. More information is available on our NRC capacity categories page.

#### DONALD S RAY SCHOOL - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

# DONALD S RAY SCHOOL ENROLLMENT (2016 - 17)

K-12 ENROLLMENT

857

#### **ENROLLMENT BY GENDER**

MALE

FEMALE

435

### **ENROLLMENT BY ETHNICITY**

GROUP	
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	
HISPANIC OR EATING	
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	
WHITE	爾
MULTIRACIAL	

TOTAL	PERCENT	
3	0%	
18	2%	
40	5%	
9	1%	
752	88%	
35	4%	

#### **OTHER GROUPS**

ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS

STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED

123

14%

#### **ENROLLMENT BY GRADE**

6TH GRADE			
UNGRADED ELEMENTARY			T
ZTH GRADE	A STATE	以可能	912
UNGRADED SECONDARY			, i

GROUP


TOTAL	PERCENT
411	48%
1.	0%
440	51%
	The state of the s

### FREE AND REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH (2016 - 17)

ELIGIBLE FOR FREE LUNCH ATTENDANCE (2015 - 16) ANNUAL ATTENDANCE RATE STUDENT SUSPENSIONS (2015 - 16) STAFF COUNTS (2016 - 17) GROUP STAFF PRINCIPALS ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF PARAPROFESSIONALS TEACHER QUALIFICATIONS (2016 - 17) TOTAL TEACHERS FERCENT WITH NO VALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE PERCENT TEACHING OUT OF CERTIFICATE PERCENT WITH FEWER THAN THREE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE PERCENTAGE WITH MASTE'S DEGREEPLUS TO HOURS OF DOCTORATE TOTAL NUMBER OF CLASSES FERCENT TAUGHT BY TEACHERS WITHOUT APPROPRIATE CERTIFICATION

#### DONALD S RAY SCHOOL - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]


#### **GRADE 6 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 304

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	IT LEVEL1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	298	31%	67	22%	139	47%	61	20%	31	10%
GENERALEDUCATION	269	34%	42	16%	136	51%	60	22%	31	12%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	29	3%	25	86%	3	10%	1	3%	0	0%
MERICANINDIAN OF ALASKA NATIVE	2	_%			-					
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	.x								
ELACK ORAFRICANAMERICAN	5	0%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%
HEPANICORLATINO	15	20%	8	53%	4	27%	1	7%	2	13%
WHITE	260	31%	52	20%	128	49%	56	22%	24	9%
MULTIRACIAL	13	54%	3	23%	3	23%	4	31%	3	23%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	5	40%	1	20%	2	40%	0	0%	2	40%
FEMALE	136	36%	22	16%	65	48%	31	23%	18	13%
MALE	162	27%	45	28%	74	46%	30	19%	13	896
NON-ENGLISH EANGUAGE LEARNERS	298	31%	67	22%	139	47%	61	20%	31	10%
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	61	11%	22	36%	32	52%	5	8%	2	3%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	237	36%	45	19%	107	45%	56	24%	29	12%
NOTMIGRANT	298	31%	67	22%	139	47%	61	20%	31	10%


#### **GRADE 7 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALL STUDENTS	255	35%	54	21%	111	44%	78	31%	12	5%
GENERAL EDUCATION	223	40%	29	13%	104	47%	78	35%	12	5%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	32	0%	25	78%	7	22%	0	0%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1	_%								
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	-%								
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	3	%								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	16	13%	4	25%	10	63%	2	13%	0	0%
WHITE	222	39%	40	18%	96	43%	74	33%	12	5%
MULTIRACIAL	10	10%	5	50%	4	40%	1	10%	0	0%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	14%	5	71%	1	14%	1	14%	0	096
FIMALE	134	40%	25	19%	56	42%	44	33%	9	7%

MALE	121	31%	29	24%	55	45%	34	28%	3	2%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	255	35%	54	21%	111	44%	78	31%	12	5%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	67	12%	33	49%	26	39%	8	12%	0	0%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	188	44%	21	11%	65	45%	70	37%	12	6%
NOTMIGRANT	255	35%	54	21%	111	44%	78	31%	12	5%

#### **GRADE 6 MATHEMATICS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 316

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL 3	LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	302	53%	45	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%
GENERAL EDUCATION	272	59%	21	8%	91	33%	87	32%	73	27%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	30	3%	24	80%	5	17%	1	3%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATTVE	2	96			_					
ASIAN OF NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	3	_%								
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	5	0%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%
HISPANIC OR LATING	13	31%	5	38%	4	31%	2	15%	2	15%
WHITE	266	54%	34	13%	89	33%	77	29%	66	25%
MULTIRACIAL	13	77%	2	15%	1	8%	8	62%	2	15%
SMALL GROUP TO TAL	5	80%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	3	60%
FEMALE	137	52%	19	14%	47	34%	43	31%	. 28	20%
MALE	165	55%	- 26	16%	49	30%	45	27%	45	27%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	302	53%	45	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%
economically disadvantaged	64	23%	21	33%	28	44%	12	19%	3	5%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	238	61%	24	10%	68	29%	76	32%	70	29%
NOTMIGRÁNT	302	53%	45	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%

#### **GRADE 7 MATHEMATICS**

Mean scores and data in the table for grade 7 math include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics. For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 7 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP.



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	257	46%	46	18%	93	36%	100	39%	18	7%
GENERAL EDUCATION	228	52%	26	11%	84	37%	100	44%	18	8%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	29	0%	20	69%	9	31%	0	0%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE	1	*								

ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	.%	1			1				
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	4	_%				- maker				
HISPANIC OR LATINO	17	24%	5	29%	8	47%	3	18%	1	6%
WHITE	223	50%	32	14%	79	35%	96	43%	16	7%
MULTIRACIAL	9	11%	4	44%	4	44%	0	0%	1	1196
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	8	13%	5	63%	2	25%	1	13%	0	0%
FEMALE	139	42%	23	17%	58	42%	49	35%	9	6%
MALE	118	51%	23	19%	35	30%	51	43%	9	8%
NONFENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	257	46%	46	18%	93	36%	100	39%	18	7%
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	71	23%	31	44%	24	34%	12	17%	4	6%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	186	55%	15	8%	69	37%	88	47%	14	8%
NOTMIGRANT	257	46%	46	18%	93	3616	100	39%	18	7%

# NEW YORK STATE ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT (NYSAA) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
GRADE 6 ELA	1	- *				
GRADE 3 MATH	1	_%				
GRADE SELA	4	*				
FRADESMATH	4	<b>.</b> %				
BRADES SCIENCE	4	_96				

#### DONALD S RAY SCHOOL - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

### ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

## ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

Geoup	MADEAYP	TESTED 95%.	STUDENTS EMPOLLED DURING THETEST ADMENISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF EMPOLIED STUDENTSWITH VALID TEST SCORES	PISSE EMMO OR BAFE HAPPOR TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS EMADLIED ON PEDSDAY	Ĥ.	EANO	SAFEHANDOR IARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	1,690*	66%*	YES	553	112	106	106
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE			3			3			100
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN			15			7			
HISPANICORLATINO	NO	NO	78*	78%*	YES	31	77	85	20
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.		_	9		_	7			
wнпе	NO	NO	1.487*	66%	NO	482	116	119	119
MULTIRACIAL	-		36			23			
STUDÉNTEWITH DISABILITIES	NO	МО	255'	60%	NO	73†	41+	74	61
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT		yee oo ah is a sakil oo. <del>Ta</del>	0	_		0			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	NO	475*	58%*	NO	129	74	93	88

# RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GFOUP	ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF EUROLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON SEDS DÂY	PICE TO SERVICE OF THE SERVICE OF TH
NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1,685	66%*	550	113
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	1,658	66%*	546	113
NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	1.612	65%	522	115
NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PA	1,670*	66%	546	112
NOTWHITE	203*	68%	71	87
NOT MULTIPACIAL	1.622*	66%	530	113
ENERALEDUCATION	1,435	67%*	488	123
NGLIS) PROFICIENT	1,686*	66%	553	112
IOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	1.215	69°6	424	124
MALE	856*	64%	282	103
EMALE	834*	68%*	271	122
AGRANT A.T.	0		. 5 No. 1	122
NOTMIGRANT	1.690*	66%*	553	112

There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PLEAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

# ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

GPOUP	ANADE AYP TESTED	ENROLLED DURING	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH	PL>=EAMO OR SAFE HARBOR TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS ETROLLED ON BEDS DAY	PI EAMO	SAFE HARBOR TARGET			
		ADMINISTRATION SPERIOD	VALID TEST SCORES							

ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	1,688*	65%*	YES	558	135	104	104
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE		-	3	-	-	3		-	-
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	1.2	-	16		-	7	-	-	-
HISPANIC OR LATINO	YES	_	39		YES	30	93	83	20
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC		-	9			7		-	_
WHATE	NO	NO	1,486*	64%*	YES	489	139	116	116
MULTIRACIAL			35			22			_
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	256*	57%*	NO	69†	49†	74	70
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT		_	0	-		0			
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	NO	NO	474*	58%'	NO	135	88	91	91

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	STUDENTS ENFOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PENOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCOPES	TESTED STUDEN I SETROLLED ON FEDSDAY	βl
OTAMERICANINDIAN OF ALASKA NATIVE	1.683	64%*	555	135
NOT BLACK OF AFRICAN AMERICAN	1,655*	65%	\$51	136
OT HISPANIC OR LATINO	1,611	64%*	528	137
OT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAITAN OTHER PA.	1,668*	64%*	551	135
от white	202*	67%*	69	104
NOTMULTIRACIAL	1.621	65%	536	135
ENERAL EDUCATION	1,432*	66%	496	147
ENGLIGH PROFICIENT	1,684*	64%*	558	135
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	1,214*	67%	423	150
MALE	856	63%	281	137
FEMALE	832*	66%'	277	133
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOTMIGRANT	1,688*	65%*	558	135

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 students envolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

"The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### **ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL SCIENCE RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY**

### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NOT APPLICABLE

r GROUP	MADE AYP	TESTEO SOX	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	FERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	P4 >= EAMO OR FROGRESS TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	, n	EAMO	PROGRESS TARGET
hard the state of	No. Provide		145人社员		7 100				<b>第二重义是</b> 。在
ALLSTUDENTS	BH 155	作 <sup>物型</sup> 等	5	1002	_	7			4
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	_		0			0	-	-	-
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	-	-	0	-	-	0	-		
HISPANICORLATINO	-	_	0			0	_		_
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	-		1	_	-	1			-
WHITE	-	_	4	_		6			-
MULTIRACIAL			0	_		0		-	-
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	- 55	_	5	-	-	7			-
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	_		0			0	- 1	-	- 1
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED			4			6			

# RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CROUP	STUDENTS ENPOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS EMPOLLED ON BEDS DAY	.ei
NOT AMERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE	5	FOR THE PARTY TO THE PARTY OF T		PARTIES AND
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	5		7	
NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	5		7	
NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PA	4		6	
NOTWHITE	1			
NOT MULTIRACIAL	5			
SENERALEDUCATION	0			
NGLIGH PROFICIENT	5		7	
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED				
MALE	3		1	
EMALE	2		3	
IIGRANT	0		4	-
IOT MIGRANT,	5		7	

<sup>---</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Progress Target data are suppressed.

#### UNWEIGHTED COMBINED ELA AND MATH PIS

GROUP	ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVELELA PI	ELEMENTARY MIDDLE-LEVEL MATH PI	SECONDARY-LEVEL ELA PI	SECONDARY-LEVEL MATH PLUTWEICHTED COMBINE	
ALL STUDENTS	112	135		DARKAN KINASA	124
MERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE					
LACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN		rangement adoption and a second			0
EPANIC OR LATINO	77	93		<del>-</del>	0
SAN OR NATIVE HAWAJIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	_				85
Энте	116	139			0
ULTIRACIAL				-	128
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	41	PARAMETER STATE OF THE STATE OF	<del>-</del>		0
MITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT		49			45
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED					0
STORES DESCRIPTION OF THE STORES	74	88			81

<sup>-</sup> There were not enough students to determine a Performance Index.

© COPYRIGHT NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

THIS DOCUMENT WAS CREATED ON APPRIL 24, 2018, 3:20 PM EST