# BALDWINSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# PUBLIC BUDGET DOCUMENT FOR THE 2018 - 2019 BUDGET

# CATHERINE M. McNAMARA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Plain Language Explanation of 2018-19 General Fund Budget	Page 1-16
Proposed 2018-19 General Fund Budget	Page 17-35
Administrative Compensation Information	Page 36
Property Tax Report Card	Page 37-39
Local Government Exemption Impact Report	Page 40-49
The New York State School District Report	
Card for the Baldwinsville Central School	
District	Page 50-94

# BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

	BALDWINSVIL	LE CSD ENROL	LMENT (201	l6 - 17)	
K-12 ENROLLMENT				5,520	
	ENR	OLLMENT BY C	GENDER		
	MALE			FEMALE	
2851	521		2.669		48%
	ENRC	LLMENT BY ET	THNICITY		

GROUP	TOTAL	PERCENT
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	15	0%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	115	2%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	233	4%
ASIAN OR NATTVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFICISEANDER	67	1%
WHITE	4,860	88%
MULTIRACIAL	230	4%

# **OTHER GROUPS**

ENGLISH LANGUAG	SELFARNERS	STUDENTS WIT	THDISABILITIES	ECONOMICALLY	DISADVANTAGED	
37	1%	790	14%	1,574	29%	

# **ENROLLMENT BY GRADE**


GROUP	TOTAL	PERCENT
KIFULUDAYI	387	7%
1ST GRADE	404	7%
2ND GRADE	383	7%
3RD GRADE	396	7%
ATHGRADE	434	8%
STHERADE	412	7%
STHERADE	411	7%
UNGRADED ELEMENTARY	9	0%
THERADE	440	8%
BTH GRADE	411	7%
9TH GRADE	431	8%
LOTHGRADE	437	8%
INTHIGRADE	445	8%
12THGRADE	500	9%
UNGRADED SECONDARY	20	0%

# AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (2016 - 17)

	SIZE (2016 - 17)
GROUP	CLASS SIZE
COMMON BRANCH	23
GRADE 8 ENGLIST	21
GRADE 8 MATHEMATICS	18
GRADE 8 SCIENCE	14
GRADE 0 SOCIAL STUDIES	21
GRADE 10 ENGLISH	22
GRADE 10 MATHEMATICS	23
GRADE 10 SCIENCE	24
GRADE 10 SOCIAL STUDIES	26
FREE AND REDUCED-P	PRICE LUNCH (2016 - 17)
ELIGIBLE FOR FREE LUNCH	ELIGIBLE FOR REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH
1294 235	170
ATTENDANG	CE (2015 - 16)
ANNUAL ATTENDANCE RATE	95%
STUDENT SUSPE	NSIONS (2015 - 16)
	(45)(0)(45)(2015-10)
227	
TEACHER TURNOVER RA	ATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)
	ATE (2015-16 TO 2016-17)
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%	FURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS W/ITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUN	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  NTS (2016 - 17)
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUN  GROUP	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS   AN  NTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%   STAFF COUN  GROUP	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  NTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUN  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  NTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8
TURNOVER RATE OF TEACHERS WITH FEWER THAN FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE  19%  STAFF COUN  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF  FARM PROFESSIONAL STAFF	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  NTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8  8  57  141
STAFF COUN  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF  PAUCUPRUPESSIONAL STAFF  TEACHER QUALIFI	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  NTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8 57 141  ICATIONS (2016 - 17)
STAFF COUN  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF  VALCAPROFESSIONAL STAFF  TOTAL TEACHERS	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  4%  NTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8 8 57 141  ICATIONS (2016 - 17)
STAFF COUN  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS  GIVER PROFESSIONAL STAFF  PARALPROFESSIONAL	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  NTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8 8 57 141  ICATIONS (2016 - 17)
STAFF COUN  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS  GRIER PROFESSIONAL STAFF  PARAPROPESSIONAL STAFF  PERCENT VITE NO VALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE  FERCENT VEACHING OUT OF CERTIFICATE	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  NTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8 77 141  ICATIONS (2016 - 17)
STAFF COUN  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF  PARCEPROFESSIONAL STAFF  PARCEPROFESSIONAL STAFF  PARCEPROFESSIONAL STAFF  PARCEPROFESSIONAL STAFF  FERCENT VERMING OUT OF CERTIFICATE  FERCENT VERMING OUT OF CERTIFICATE  FERCENT VERMING OUT OF CERTIFICATE  FERCENT WITH FEWER I HAD THESE VERMS OF EXPERIENCE	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  NTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8 8 57 141  ICATIONS (2016 - 17)
STAFF COUN  GROUP  PRINCIPALS  ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS  OTHER PROFESSIONAL STAFF  FAIGUPROPESSIONAL STAFF  FAIGUPROPESSIONAL STAFF  FAIGUPROPESSIONAL STAFF  FERCENT TEACHING CERTIFICATE  FERCENT TEACHING COUT OF CERTIFICATE	TURNOVER RATE OF ALL TEACHERS  6%  NTS (2016 - 17)  STAFF  8 8 77 141  ICATIONS (2016 - 17)

#### BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]


#### **GRADE 3 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



MEAN SCORE: 310

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	El. 1	LEV	LEVEL 2		EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	366	38%	77	21%	150	41%	133	36%	6	2%
GENERAL EQUICATION	322	43%	44	14%	140	43%	132	41%	6	2%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	44	2%	33	75%	10	23%	1	2%	0	0%
MAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	4	_%	Mark Str.							
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	3	_%								
HISPANIC OR LATING	11	18%	5	45%	4	36%	2	18%	0	0%
WHITE	330	39%	63	19%	137	42%	125	38%	5	2%
MULTIRACIAL	18	22%	6	33%	8	44%	3	17%	1	6 6
MALL GROUPTOTAL	7	43%	3	43%	1	14%	3	43%	0	0%
FEMALE	192	45%	27	14%	79	41%	82	43%	4	2.6
MALE	174	30%	50	29%	71	41%	51	29%	2	1%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	361	38%	77	21%	146	40%	132	37%	6	2%
ENGLISH KANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	20%	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
CONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	102	26%	35	34%	40	39%	26	25%	1	1%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	264	42%	42	16%	110	42%	107	41%	5	2%
NOTMIGRANT	366	38%	77	21%	150	41%	133	36%	6	2%

# **GRADE 4 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**




MEAN SCORE: 309

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
ALLSTUDENTS	362	43%	59	16%	149	41%	112	31%	42	12%
GENERALEDUCATION	311	49%	32	10%	128	41%	109	35%	42	14%
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	51	6%	27	53%	21	41%	3	6%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALISKA NATIVE	1	*					16			le dita
ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	2	.%								
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	7	%								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	24	29%	6	25%	11	46%	6	25%	1	4%
WHERE	306	44%	49	16%	121	40%	98	32%	38	12%
MULTIRACIAL	22	36%	2	925	12	55%	6	27%	2	9%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	10	30%	2	20%	5	50%	2	20%	1	10%
FEMALE	197	52%	25	13%	65	35%	69	37%	28	15%

MALE	175	33%	34	19%	84	48%	43	25%	14	8%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	358	_%							-	
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	4	_%								
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	125	26%	38	30%	55	44%	24	19%	8	6%
NOT ÉCONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	237	51%	21	9°6	94	40%	88	37%	34	14%
NOTMIGRANŤ	362	43%	59	16%	149	41%	112	31%	42	12%


# **GRADE 5 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 308

GROUP  ALL STUDENTS	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	LEVEL 1		LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL 4	
	342		80	23%	124	36%	97	28%	41	12%	
GENERÁLEDUCATION -	299	45%	47	16%	118	39%	93	31%	41	14%	
STO DENTS WITH DISABILITIES	43	9%	33	77%	6	14%	4	99.	0	0'6	
ASAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	4	_%									
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	3	_%			-	in the second of					
HISPANIC OR LATINO	18	33%	8	44%	4	22%	4	22%	2	11%	
WHITE	301	40%	66	22%	115	38%	85	28%	35	12%	
MULTIRACIAL	16	50%	6	38%	2	13%	5	31%	3	19%	
SMALLGROUPTOTAL	7	57%	0	0%	3	43%	3	43%	1	14%	
FEMALE	163	47%	27	17%	59	36%	49	30%	28	17%	
MALE	179	34%	53	30%	65	36%	48	27%	13	7%	
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	340	*	-								
ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS	2	*		\$ 3					1		
ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	95	20%	41	43%	35	37%	12	13%	7	7%	
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	247	48%	39	16%	89	36%	85	34%	34	1496	
HOTMIGRANT	342	40%	80	23%	124	36%	97	28%	41	12%	


#### **GRADE 6 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1		LEV	EL 2	LEVEL 3		LEVEL4	
ALLSTUDENTS	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%
GENERAL EDUCATION	269	34%	42	16%	135	51%	60	22%	31	12%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	33	3%	29	88%	3	9%	1	3%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	_%								
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHERPACIFIC	3	%					1500			
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	5	0%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%

HISPANICOR LATINO	15	20%	8	53%	4	27%	1	7%	2	13%
WHITE	264	30%	56	21%	128	48%	56	21%	24	9%
MULTIRACIAL	13	54%	3	23%	3	23%	4	31%	3	23%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	5	40%	1	20%	2	40%	0	0%	2	40%
FEMALE	137	36%	23	17%	65	47%	31	23%	18	13%
MALE	165	26%	48	29%	74	45%	30	18%	13	8%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%
EGONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	62	11%	23	37%	32	52%	5	8%	2	3%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	240	35%	48	20%	107	45%	56	23%	29	12%
NOTIMEGRANT	302	30%	71	24%	139	46%	61	20%	31	10%


#### **GRADE 7 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 305

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1'	LEV	EL 2	LEV	'EL 3	LEV	EL4
ALL STUDENTS	258	35%	57	22%	111	43%	78	30%	12	5%
ENERALEDUCATION	223	40%	29	13%	104	47%	78	35%	12	5%
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	35	0%	28	80%	7	20%	0	0%	0	0%
MERICANINDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	*								
SÁN OR NATTVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	3	*				Pen sula A			+	
LACK OR AFRIGANAMERICAN	3	*					- 1			
ISPANIC ORLATINO	16	13%	4	25%	10	63%	2	13%	0	0%
<b>УНПЕ</b>	224	38%	42	19%	96	43%	74	33%	12	5%
MULTIRACIAL	10	10%	5	50%	4	40%	1	10%	0	0%
MALLGROUPTOTAL	8	13%	6	75%	1	13%	1	13%	0	0%
EMALE	136	39%	27	20%	56	41%	44	32%	9	7%
MALE	122	30%	30	25%	55	45%	34	28%	3	2%
ON-ENGUSHLANGUAGELEARNERS	258	35%	57	22%	111	43%	78	30%	12	5%
CONOMICALLY SISADVANTAGED	68	12%	34	50%	26	38%	8	12%	0	0%
NOTECONOMICALLY DEADVANTAGED	190	43%	23	12%	85	45%	70	37%	12	6%
YOT MIGRANT	258	35%	57	22%	111	43%	78	30%	12	5%


# **GRADE 8 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	ÆL2	LEV	/EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	231	49%	41	18%	76	33%	83	36%	31	13%
GENERALEDUCATION	201	56%	20	10%	69	34%	82	41%	30	15%

STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	30	7%	21	70%	7	23%	1	3%	1	3%
RMERICAN INGIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1	_96				1. 1				_
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	3	<b>%</b>							-	
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	5	.96	_				-			
ISPANIC OR LATINO	11	13%	4	36%	5	45%	2	18%	0	0%
MHITE 2	199	53%	31	16%	63	32%	76	38%	29	15%
MULTIRACIAL	12	25%	3	25%	6	50%	3	25%	0	0%
EMALL GROUP TOTAL	9	44%	. 3	33%	2	22%	2	22%	2	22%
EMALE	116	54%	14	12%	39	34%	45	39%	18	16%
MALE	115	44%	27	23%	37	32%	38	33%	13	11%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	230	_%								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	.%								
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	57	30%	20	35%	20	35%	15	26%	2	4%
NOTECONOMICALLY DEADVANTAGED	174	56%	21	12%	56	32%	68	39%	29	17%
NOT MIGRANT	231	49%	41	18%	76	33%	83	36%	31	13%


# **GRADE 3 MATHEMATICS**



MEAN SCORE: 316

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	363	58%	56	15%	95	26%	127	35%	85	23%
GENERALEDUCATION	319	64%	29	9%	85	27%	121	38%	84	26%
TUDENTSWITH DISABILITIES	44	16%	27	61%	10	23%	6	14%	1	2%
ASIAN ORNATI VEHAWAHAN OTHER PACIFIC	4.5	96							1.	Ē.
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	3	_%								- 1
HISPANICOR LATING	10	50%	4	40%	1	10%	2	20%	3	30%
WHITE	327	59%	44	13%	89	27%	119	36%	75	23%
NULTIRACIAL	19	53%	7	37%	2	11%	6	32%	4	21%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	43%	1	14%	3	43%	0	0%	3	43%
FEMALE	189	61%	24	13%	49	26%	69	37%	47	25%
MALE	174	55%	32	18%	46	26%	58	33%	38	22%
non-english language learners	358	59%	55	15%	93	26%	126	35%	84	23%
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	40%	1	20%	2	40%	1	20%	1	20%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	99	39%	28	28%	32	32%	28	28%	11	11%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	264	66%	28	11%	63	24%	99	38%	74	28%
HOTMGRANT	363	58%	56	15%	95	26%	127	35%	85	23 K


# **GRADE 4 MATHEMATICS**



MEAN SCORE: 312

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL 1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL 3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	367	50%	59	16%	125	34%	94	26%	89	24%
GENERALEDUCATION "	313	57%	31	10%	105	34%	88	28%	89	28%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	54	11%	28	52%	20	37%	6	11%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1	_96							2	
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	_%					-	-		
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	8	.%								
HISPANICOREATINO	24	50%	. 7	29%	5	21%	5	21%	7	29%
WHITE	310	51%	44	14%	108	35%	84	27%	74	24%
MULTIRACIAL	21	33%	5	24%	9	43%	4	19%	3	14%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	12	50%	3	25%	3	25%	1	8%	5	42%
FEMALE	183	50%	29	16%	63	34%	45	25%	46	25%
MALE	184	50%	30	16%	62	34%	49	27%	43	23%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	362	50%	59	16%	121	33%	93	26%	89	25%
ENGLIGHTANGUAGETEARNERS	5	20%	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%
ECONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	121	31%	40	33%	44	36%	24	20%	13	11%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	246	59%	19	8%	81	33%	70	28%	76	31%
NOTMIGRANT	367	50%	59	16%	125	34%	94	26%	89	24%

# **GRADE 5 MATHEMATICS**



MEAN SCORE: 317

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	ÆL 2 ·	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	336	54%	78	23%	75	22%	120	36%	63	19%
GENERAL EDUCATION	295	60%	53	18%	66	22%	115	39%	61	21%
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	41	17%	25	61%	9	22%	5	12%	2	5%
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAITANVOTHERPAGIFIC	4	. %								
BRACISORAFRICANAMERICAN	2	_%								
HI PANICORLATINO	18	39%	10	56%	1	6%	4	22%	3	17%
WHITE	297	56%	64	22%	67	23%	113	38%	53	18%
MULTIRACIAL	15	47%	3	20%	5	33%	2	13%	5	33%
SMALLGROUP TOTAL	6	50%	1	17×	2	33%	1	17%	2	33%
FEMALE	158	49%	42	27%	39	25%	46	29%	31	20%
MALE	178	60%	36	20%	36	20%	74	42%	32	18%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	334	_%							7.6	
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	.*	-							
ESONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	89	31%	39	44%	22	25%	17	19%	11	12%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	247	63%	39	16%	53	21%	103	42%	52	21%
NOTMIGRANT	336	54%	78	23%	75	22%	120	36%	63	19%


# **GRADE 6 MATHEMATICS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2:	LEV	/EL 3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%
GENERALEOUCATION	272	59%	21	8%	91	33%	87	32%	73	27%
รับอองวิริพู้ทุกอุเรษย์เกาตร	32	3%	26	81%	5	16%	1	3%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	%								
ASIÁN OR NATÍVÉ HAWAIIAN OTHER PACÍFIC	3	%								
ELACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	5	O%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0"6	0	0%
HEPANICOR L'ATINO	13	31%	5	38%	4	31%	2	15%	2	15%
WHITE	268	53%	36	13%	89	33%	77	29%	66	25%
MULTIRACIAL	13	77%	2	15%	1	8%	8	62%	2	15%
MALE GROUP TOTAL	5	80%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	3	60%
FEMALE	138	51%	20	14%	47	34%	43	31%	28	20%
VALE	166	54%	27	16%	49	30%	45	27%	45	27%
NON-ENGUSH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	65	23%	22	34%	28	43%	12	18%	3	5%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	239	61%	25	10%	68	28%	76	32%	70	29%
LOTMICRANT	304	53%	47	15%	96	32%	88	29%	73	24%

#### **GRADE 7 MATHEMATICS**

Mean scores and data in the table for grade 7 math include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 7 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 7 students who took the Grade 7 NYSTP.




GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	/EL1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	/EL4
ALLSTUDINTS	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	33%	18	7%
GENERALEDUCATION	228	52%	26	11%	84	37%	100	44%	18	8%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	32	0%	23	72%	9	28%	0	0.6	0	0%
AMERICANINDIAN OR AVASKA NATIVE	2	_56								
ASIAN OR NATTVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	3	.%								
BEACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	4	_%								
HISPANICOR LATINO	17	24%	5	29%	8	47%	3	18%		6%
WHITE	225	50%	34	15%	79	35%	96	43%	16	7%
MULTIRACIAL	9	11%	4	44%	4	44%	0	0%	1	11%
SWALLGROUP TOTAL	9	11%	6	67%	2	22%	1	11%	0	0%
FEMALE	141	41%	25	18%	58	41%	49	35%	9	6%
MALE	119	50%	24	20%	35	29%	51	43%	9	8%
NON-ENGLISHTANGUAGE LEARNERS	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	38%	18	7%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	72	22%	32	44%	24	33%	12	17%	4	6%

NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	168	54%	17	9%	69	37%	88	47%	14	7%
NOTHIGRANT	260	45%	49	19%	93	36%	100	38%	18	7%

#### **GRADE 8 MATHEMATICS**

Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 math include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 New York State Testing Program Assessment (NYSTP) in Mathematics. For 2015 and forward, data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics and grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the NYSTP. For 2014 and earlier, data in the bar charts include only those for grade 8 students who took the Grade 9 NYSTP.



#### MEAN SCORE: 273


GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	ŒL 1	LEV	EL2	LEV	/EL3	ĻĖV	EĽ4
ALGIUDENTE	74	5%	46	62%	24	32%	4	5%	0	0%
GENERALEOUCÁTION	48	4%	27	56%	19	40%	2	4%	0	0%
ราบอุธการพีทำหอเรคียนักเรร	26	8%	19	73%	5	19%	2	8%	0	0%
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	%								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	7	%								
wim	57	7%	31	54%	22	39%	4	7%	0	0%
MULTRACIAL	8	096	. 7	889	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%
SWALL GROUP TO YAL	9	0%	8	89%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%
EMAL	38	5%	25	66%	11	29%	2	5%	0	0%
MALE	36	6%	21	58%	13	36%	2	6%	0	0%
NON-ENGLISHIAN AUAGE LEARNERS	73	%								
ENGUSH LANGUAGE LEARNER	1	96								
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	27	7%	17	63%	8	30%	2	7%	. 0	0%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	47	4%	29	62%	16	34%	2	4%	0	0%
NOTMIGRANT	74	5%	46	62%	24	32%	4	5%	0	0%

#### GRADE 8 STUDENTS TAKING A REGENTS MATH TEST

Accelerated grade 8 students who took a Regents math test in lieu of the Grade 8 NYSTP in Mathematics.

GROUP.	TOTALTESTEE	LEV	/EL1	LEV	EL 2	/ LEV	EL3	4&A	BOVE	3&A	BÖVE
(LESTUPENTS	233	0	-0%	0	0%	20	9%	213	91%	233	100


# **GRADE 4 SCIENCE**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	394	91%	14	4%	23	6%	125	32%	232	59%
GENERALEDUCATION	337	95%	5	1%	12	4%	99	29%	221	66%
STUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	57	65%	9	16%	11	19%	26	46%	11	19%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	1	<b>%</b>				-				
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	3	_%								
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	9	-%								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	24	88%	1	4%	2	8%	10	42%	11	46%
WHITE	334	91%	13	4%	17	5%	103	31%	201	60%
MULTIRACIAL	23	87%	0	0%	3	13%	6	26%	14	61%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	13	92%	0	0%	1	8%	6	46%	6	46%
FEMALE	200	92%	6	346	11	6%	61	31%	122	61%
MALE	194	90%	8	4%	12	6%	64	33%	110	57%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUA RELEARN 165	390	*	-	-						
englightanguageteanners	4	-%				_				
ECONO MICALLY DISABVANTAGED	136	78%	13	10%	17	13%	56	41%	50	37%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	258	97%	1	0%	6	2%	69	27%	182	71%
NOT MIGRANT	394	91%	14	4%	23	696	125	32%	232	59%

#### **GRADE 8 SCIENCE**

Data in the bar charts include those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 8 Science Test and grade 8 students who took a Regents science test in lieu of this test. Mean scores and data in the table for grade 8 science include only those for grade 8 students who took the New York State Grade 8 Science Test.



#### MEAN SCORE: 64


GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	, LEV	EL1	ĻEV	ŒĹ2 ::	LEV	/EL3	LEV	/EL4
ALL STUDENTS.	63	49%	5	8%	27	43%	31	49%	0	0%
GENERALEDUCATION .	42	60%	1	2%	16	38%	25	60%	0	0%
STUDENTSWITH DEADLLTIES	21	29%	4	19%	11	52%	6	29%	0	0%
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	*								
HISPANIC OR LATING	9	33%	0	0%	6	67%	3	33%	0	0%
WHITE	47	57%	4	9%	16	34%	27	57%	0	0%
MULTIRACIAL	5	_%								
SMALE GROUP TO TAL	7	14%	1	14%	5	71%	1	14%	0	0%
PEMALE	30	37%	0	0%	19	63%	11	37%	0	0%
MALE	33	61%	5	15%	8	24%	20	61%	0	0%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	62	94								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	*								
EGONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	26	58%	2	8%	9	35%	15	58%	0	0%
NOTECONOMICALLY DEADVANTAGED	37	43%	3	8%	18	49%	16	43%	0	0%
NOTMERANT	63	49%	5	8%	27	43%	31	49%	0	0%

#### GRADE 8 STUDENTS TAKING A REGENTS SCIENCE TEST


Accelerated grade 8 students who take a Regents science test in lieu of the New York State Grade 8 Science Test.

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	/EL 1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	259	99%	0	0%	2	1%	105	41%	152	59%

# RECENTLY ARRIVED ELL STUDENTS (2016 - 17)




# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	528	92%	8	2%	5	1%	59	11%	429	81%
GENERALEDUCATION	463	97%	0	0%	0	0%	45	10%	402	87%
STUDGNOSWITH DISABILITIES	65	63%	8	1 2%	5	8%	14	22%	27	42%
AMERICANINDIANOR ALASKA NÄTTVE	2	_%								
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIJAN/OTHER PACIFIC	13	_%								
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	15	73%	2	13%	. 1	7%	6	40%	5	33%
HISPANICOR KATINO	25	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	8%	23	92%
WHITE	458	93%	5	1%	4	1%	46	10%	381	83%
MULTIPACIAL	15	73%	1	7%	0	0%	4	27%	7	47%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	1	79.	13	87%
FEMALE	250	92%	3	1%	2	1%	16	6%	214	86%
MALE	278	93%	5	2%	3	196	43	15%	215	77%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	_%								1
ENGLIGHTANGUAGELEARNERS	1	-%	-							
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	80%	6	5%	3	3%	23	19%	73	61%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	96%	2	0%	2	0%	36	994	356	87%
NOTMIGRANT	528	92%	8	2%	5	1%	59	11%	429	81%


# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL MATHEMATICS AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	/EL 1	LEV	ÆL 2	LEV	EL 3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	528	91%	. 8	2%	21	4%	230	44%	253	48%
GENERAL EDUCATION	463	96%	2	0%	7	2%	201	43%	245	53%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	57%	6	9%	14	22%	29	45%	8	12%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2	_96								
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	13	_%								

ALLSTUDENTS	528	90%	4	1%	16	3%	124	23%	352	67%
GENERAL EDUCATION	463	95%	0	0%	5	1%	106	23%	336	73%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	52%	4	6%	11	17%	18	28%	16	
AMERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE	2	_%								25%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHER PACIFIC.	13	_%						-		
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	15	73%	0	0%	1	7%	7	47%	4	
HISPANIC OR LATING	25	96%	0	0%		4%	В	32%	16	27%
WHITE	458	91%	4	1%	14	3%	101	22%	315	64%
MULTIRACIAL	15	73%	0	0%	0	0%	5	33%	6	69%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	3	20%	11	40%
FEMALE	250	90%	2	1%	8	3%	60	24%	164	73%
MALE	278	91%	2	1%	8	3%	64	23%	188	66%
NON-ENGLES-HANGUAGELEÄRNERS	527	_%						23/6	188	68%
ENGLISH LA NGUAGE LEARNERS	1	%								-
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	75%	2	2%	9	8%	42	35%		
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	95%	2	0%	7	2%	82	20%	48	40%
NOTMIGRANT	528	90%	4	1%	16	3%	124	20%	304 352	75% 67%

# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL SCIENCE AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL 3	LEV	EL4
ALL STUDENTS	528	92%	4	1%	17	3%	151	29%	334	63%
ENERALEDUCATION	463	96%	1	0%	8	2%	122	26%	323	70%
TUDENTSWITHDISABILITIES	65	62%	3	5%	9	14%	29	45%	11	17%
MERICAN INDIAN ORALASKĄ NATIVE	2	_%			3 8 8 8 8					
SIANGRNATIVEHAWAIJAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	13	%								•
EACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	15	73%	1	7%	2	13%	7	47%	4	27%
ISPANICOREATINO	25	92%	0	0%	0	0%	12	48%	11	44%
nurt.	458	93%	2	0%	14	3%	126	28%	301	66%
ULTIRACIAS	15	67%	1	7%	1	7%	4	27%	6	40%
MALLGROUPTOTAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	2	13%	12	80%
EMALE .	250	91%	2	1%	7	3%	75	30%	153	
ALE AND THE STATE OF THE STATE	278	92%	2	1%	10	4%	76	27%	181	61%
ON ENGLISH LANGVAGE LEARNERS	527	56					/	2776	181	65%
NGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS		_%						11. E	- 4	
CONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	120	80%	3	3%	9	8%	52	43%		
OTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	95%	1	0%	8	2%	for the whole		44	37%
OT MIGRANT	528	92%	4	1%	17	3%	99 151	24%	290 334	71%

Regents Examination Results (2016 - 17)


# ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS (COMMON CORE)** 

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4	LEVEL 5
		The second secon		A Transfer of the last	* All the Lands As a contract of the	PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA


BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	15	80%	3	20%	0	0%	10	67%	2	13%
HISPANIC OR LATING	25	96%	0	0%	0	0%	15	60%	9	36%
WHITE	458	92%	4	1%	19	4%	194	42%	229	50%
MULTIRACIAL	15	73%	0	0%	2	13%	7	47%	4	27%
SMAU GROUP TOTAL	15	87%	1	7%	0	0%	4	27%	9	60%
FEMALE	250	92%	2	1%	10	4%	103	41%	126	50%
MALE	278	91%	6	2%	11	4%	127	46%	127	46%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	_%						-		
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	_%								1
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	120	84%	6	5%	7	6%	76	63%	25	21%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	408	94%	2	0%	14	3%	154	38%	228	56%
NOT MIGRANT	528	91%	8	2%	21	4%	230	44%	253	48%

# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL.1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	528	89%	9	2%	24	5%	175	33%	293	55%
SENERAL EDUCATION	463	95%	2	0%	12	3%	159	34%	280	60%
NUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	65	45%	7	11%	12	18%	16	25%	13	20%
american indian or alaska native	2	_%			-		-			-
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	13	_%								
BLACKORÁFRICANAMERICAN	15	73%	1	7%	1	7%	8	53%	3	20%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	25	92%	0	0%	0	0%	12	48%	11	44%
WHITE	458	90%	8	2%	20	4%	147	32%	263	57%
MULTIRACIAL	15	67%	0	0%	3	20%	6	40%	4	27%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	93%	0	0%	0	0%	2	13%	12	80%
FEMALE	250	88%	2	1%	13	5%	83	33%	136	54%
MALE	278	90%	7	3%	11	4%	92	33%	157	56%
NON ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	527	_%		-			-			-
ENGLISH LANGUAGEL PARINETS	1	_%				-	_	74,000 mm 2		11.
ECONOMICALL (DISADVANTAGED	120	73%	5	4%	13	11%	52	43%	36	30%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	408	93%	4	1%	11	3%	123	30%	257	63%
NOTMIGRANT	528	89%	9	2%	24	5%	175	33%	293	55%

# TOTAL COHORT RESULTS IN SECONDARY-LEVEL U.S. HISTORY AND GOVERNMENT AFTER FOUR YEARS OF INSTRUCTION



ALL STUDENTS	457	20	4%	9	2%	49	11%	61	13 %	318	70%
GENERAL EDUCATION	410	9	29	5	1%	39	10%	46	11%	311	76%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	47	11	23	4	9.	10	21%	15	32%		15%
AMERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE											
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN THER PACIFIC	8							-			
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	14	3	21%	1	7%	1	7%		21%	6	43%
HISPANIC OR LATING	11	0	0%	0 -	0%	2	18%	1	9%	8	73%
WHITE	407	17	4%	7	2%	43	11%	56	14%	2 4	7%
MULTIRACIAL	14	. 0	0%	1	7%	3	21/6	1	7%	9	64%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	11	0	0%	o	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
FEMALE	209	3	1%	3	1%	15	7%	20	10%	168	80%
MALE	248	17	7%	6	2%	34	14%	4	17%	150	60%
NON ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	457	20	4%	9	2%	49	11/4	61	13%	318	70%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	105	10	10%	4	4%	24	23%	25	24%	42	40%
NOTECO NOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	352	10	396	5	196	25	7%	36	10%	276	78%
NOTHIGEANT	457	20	4%	9	2%	49	11%	61	13%	3 8	70%

# ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY

#### REGENTS ALGEBRA 2/TRIGONOMETRY

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	9	5		5	8	5
ALL STUDENTS	15	8	53%	6	40%	3	20%
GENERAL EDUCATION	14						
STUDENTS WITH DUSABILITIES	1						
ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	1				-		
WHITE	13						
MULTIRACIAL	1						
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	15	8	53%	6	40%	3	20%
FEMALE	10	4	40%	2	20%	1	10%
MALE	5	4	80%	4	80%	2	40%
NON-ENGLIGHTANGUAGE LEARNERS	15	8	53%	6	40%	3	20%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED (	3					Equation and an extension of the second	
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED.	12						
ROTMICRANT	15	8	53%	6	40%	3	20%

# ALGEBRA I (COMMON CORE)

#### ALGEBRA I (COMMON CORE)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	. LEV	EL 1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4	L.E.V	EL 5
ALLSTUDENTS	599	22	4%	41	7%	170	28%	135	3 %	181	30%
SENERALEBUCATION	514	3	1%	20	4%	136	26%	177	34%	178	35%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	85	19	22%	21	25%	34	40%	8	9%	3	4%
MERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2										
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.	13										
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN.	15	2	13%	2	13%	9	60%	2	13%	0	0%
HISPANIC OR LATING	17	0	0%	1	66	8	47%	4	24%	4	24%
WHITE	535	20	4%	38	7%	145	27%	163	30%	169	32%
MUSTIRACIAL	17	0	0%	0	0%	5	29%	9	53,	3	18%
MALLEROUPTOTAL	15	0	0%	0	0%	3	20%	7	47%	5	33%
EMAUL.	289	9	3%	13	4%	72	25%	102	35%	93	32%
AALE	310	13	4%	28	9%	98	32%	83	27%	88	28%
ON-ENGLISH EANGUAGE LEARNERS	594	22	4%	41	76	168	28%	183	31%	180	30%
NGLIGHTANGUAGE LEARNERS	5	0	0%	0	0%	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	167	14	8%	18	11%	82	49%	35	21%	18	11%
NOTECONOMICALLYDISABVANTAGED	432	8	2%	23	5%	88	20%	150	35%	163	38%
HOT MIGRANY	599	22	4%	41	7%	170	28%	185	31%	181	30%

# **COMMON CORE GEOMETRY**

# REGENTS COMMON CORE GEOMETRY

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	. Ž LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4	LEV	EL 5
ALUSTUOISHIS	429	43	10%	61	14%	165	38%	49	11%	111	26%
GENERAL EDUCATION	416	40	10%	57	14%	160	38%	48	12%	111	27%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	13	3	23%	4	31%	5	38%	1	8%	0	0%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHER PACIFIC	5	0	D%	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	3	60%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	7	4	57%	2	29%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%
HISPANICOR LATING	13	2	15%	2	15%	6	46%	2	15%		8%
WHITE	393	34	9%	54	14%	151	38%	47	12%	107	27%
MULTIPACIAL	11	3	27%	3	27%	5	45%	0	0%	0	0%
DIVALE	205	19	9%	29	14%	74	36%	27	13%	56	27%
MALE	224	24	11%	32	14%	91	41%	22	10%	55	25%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGELEARNERS	427									1	2.7%
INGUSHLANOVAGELEARNERS	2								<u> </u>		-
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	83	15	18%	22	27%	32	39%	9	11%	5	6
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	346	28	8%	39	11%	133	38%	0	2%	106	31%
NOTMIGRANT	429	43	10%	6	14%	165	387	49	1%	111	26%

# ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

#### ALGEBRA II (COMMON CORE)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4	LEV	EL 5
ALL STUDENTS	315	13	4%	21	796	153	49%	84	27%	44	14%
GENERALEDUCATION	304	13	4%	18	6%	146	489	83	27%	44	14%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	11	0	0%	3	27%	. 7	64%	1	9%	0	0%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2					-					7.59
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	7	0	0%	0	0%	3	43%	2	29%	2	29%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	4										
HEPANICOR LATINO	7	1	14%	. 1	14%	4	57%	1	14%	0	0%
WHITE	298	10	3%	19	7%	139	48%	78	27%	42	15%
MULTIRACIAL	7	1	14%	1	14%	4	57%	1	14%	0	0%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	6	1	17%	0	0%	3	50%	2	33%	0	0%
FEMALE	166	11	7%	13	8%	67	40%	50	30%	25	15%
MALE	149	2	1%	8	5%	86	58%	34	23%	19	13%
NON-ENGUEHLANGUAGELEARNERS	315	13	4%	21	7%	153	49%	84	27%	44	1.49
ECONOMICALLYDISAEVANTAGED	51	7	14%	6	12%	28	55%	8	16%	2	436
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	264	6	2%	15	696	125	47%	76	29%	42	169
NOTMIGRANT	315	13	436	21	7%	153	49%	84	27%	44	149

# **GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY**

#### REGENTS GLOBAL HISTORY AND GEOGRAPHY

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	5	5, 7, 3, 1	6	5	8	5
ALLSTUDENTS	497	444	89%	402	81%	218	44%
GENERALEDUCATION	422	398	94%	370	88%	213	50%
STUDENTSWITHDEADIUMES	75	46	61%	32	43%	5	7%
AMERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE	1						
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC.							
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	14	8	57%	6	43%	Q	0%
HISPANICOR LATINO	14	13	93%	13	93%	5	36%
WHITE	452	408	90%	370	82%	205	45%
MULTIRACIAL	12	10	83%	8	67%	3	25%
SWALEGROUPFOTAL	5	5	100%	5	100%	5	100%
FEMALE	235	225	96%	201	86%	106	45%
MALE	262	219	84%	201	77%	112	43%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	494						
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	3						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTÃ GED	143	117	82%	96	67%	32	22%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	354	327	92%	306	86%	186	5316
NOTMIGRANT	497	444	89%	402	81%	218	44%

# **U.S. HISTORY & GOVERNMENT**

#### **REGENTS U.S. HISTORY & GOVERNMENT**

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	55		65		85	
ALLSTUDENTS	462	446	97%	424	92%	301	65%
GENERALEDUCATION	416	407	98%	396	95%	289	69%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	46	39	85%	28	61%	12	26%
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE	3						
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	8						
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	17	15	88%	12	71%	3	18%
HISPANIC OR LATINO	10	10	100%	9	90%	7	70%
WHITE	410	396	97%	378	92%	276	61%
MULTIRACIAL	14	14	100%	14	100%	6	43%
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	11	11	100%	11	100%	9	82%
FEMALE	218	214	98%	202	93%	144	66%
MALE	244	232	95%	222	91%	157	64%
NO N-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	462	446	97%	424	92%	301	65%
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	115	106	92%	92	80%	45	39%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	347	340	98%	332	96%	256	74%
NOTMIGRANT	462	446	97%	424	92%	301	65%

#### LIVING ENVIRONMENT

#### **REGENTS LIVING ENVIRONMENT**

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	5	5	6	5		35
ALSTUDENTS	719	495	97%	663	92%	380	53%
HENERAL EDUCATION	656	645	98%	627	96%	370	56%
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	63	50	79%	36	57%	10	16%
MERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	2						
SANOR NATIVE HAWAHAN OTHER PACIFIC	-16	16	100%	16	100%	13	81%
LACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	14	Alf-off Table					
HSPANIC OR LATING	19	19	100%	17	89%	7	37%
WHITE	647	627	97%	603	93%	353	55%
AUETIRACIAE	21	21	100≭	19	90%	5	24%
MALEGROUPTOTAL	16	12	75%	8	50%	2	13%
DVALE	356	348	98%	331	93%	180	51%
MALE	363	347	96%	332	91%	200	55°6
NOR-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	715						
NGUSH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	4						
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	160	143	89%	120	75%	38	24%
IOTE CONDIMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	559	552	99%	543	97%	342	6195
NOT MIGRANT	719	695	97%	663	92%	380	53%

# PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS

#### **REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/PHYSICS**

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED		55	6	5		5
ALLSTUDENTS	67	67	100%	65	97%	42	63%
GENERALEDUCATION	67	67	100%	65	97%	<b>4</b> 2	63%
STAN OR NATTIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	1						
LACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	2						
AISPANIC OR EATING	1						
WHITE	61 :	61	100%	59	97%	39	64
MUETI RACIAL	2						
MAUL GROUP TO YALL	6	6	100%	6	100%	3	50%
EMALE	25	25	100%	25	100%	15	60%
AALE	42	42	100%	40	95%	27	64%
HON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	67	67	100%	65	97%	42	63%
CONOMICALLY DISABVANTACED	1					grande engine op in de Se	
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	66			Security Processing St.			
NOTMIGRANT	67	67	100%	65	97%	42	63%

#### NEW YORK STATE ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT (NYSAA) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3.	LEVEL 4
GRADISTRA		%	MANAGE CELE			
GRADE MATH	3	%.				
GRADE4ENA	1	*				
GRADE4MATH	1					
GIVIDE (SCIEVIE)	2	_%				
GRADE S BLA	2	_%				
GRADES MATH	2	*		T.		
GRADE (11/	2	%				
GRADECMATH	2	%				
TGRADE TELA	1	%				
GRADE7,MATH	1	%				
GADEEBA	7	100%	0	0	7	0
GRADEEMATH	7	43%	1	3	3	0
GRAD (XAIDNCE	6	B3%	0	1	5	0
SECONDARYALEVELERA	3	%				
SECOND LLY (EX JEL MATH	3	%				
SECONDARYALEVELSCI N. a.	3	°6				
SECONDARY (SVELSGERALSTUDIES	3	*				

#### NEW YORK STATE ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE ACHIEVEMENT TEST (NYSESLAT) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED ENTERING EMERGING TRANSITIONING EXPANDING CO	MMANDIN
<b>диоемт</b>		
RACEDUCATION	2	
RACEBUCATION		

# PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

#### REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/EARTH SCIENCE

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED		5	6	5	8	35
ALESTUDENTS	67	47	70%	33	49%	5	7%
GENERAL EDUCATION	32	27	84%	19	59%	3	9%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	35	20	57%	14	40%	2	6%
ASIAN OR NATIVEHAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	2						
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN.	4						
HISPANIC OR KATING	3						
WHITE	\$5	40	73%	29	53%	4	7%
MULTIRACIAL	3						
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	12	7	58%	4	33%	1	8%
FEMALE	24	15	63%	11	46%	1	4%
MALE	43	32	74%	22	51%	4	9%
non-english language learners	66						
ENGLIGH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	33	22	67%	14	42%	1	3%
NOTECONÓ MICALLY DIEADVANTAGED	34	25	74%	19	56%	4	1299
NOTMIGRANT	67	47	70%	33	49%	5	7%

# PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY

#### REGENTS PHYSICAL SETTING/CHEMISTRY

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	5	5	. 6	5	8	5
ALLSTUDENTS	430	401	93%	347	81%	96	22%
GENERAL EDUCATION	419	391	93%	342	82%	95	23%
STUDENTSWITTH DISABILITIES \	11	10	91%	5	45%	1	9%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	3						
ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	7	6	86%	5	71%	2	29%
BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN		NO LACE					
HISPANICOR LATINO	10	10	100%	8	80%	0	0%
wнде	397	372	94%	323	81%	93	23%
MULTIRACIAL	9	6	67%	6	67%	0	096
EMAIL CROUP TOTAL	7	7	100%	5	71%	1	14%
FEMALE	222	209	94%	183	82%	56	25%
MALE	208	192	92%	164	79%	40	19%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	429						
ENGELSHEANGUAGE LEARNERS	1						
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	69	60	87%	46	67%	10	14%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	361	341	94%	301	83%	86	24%
NOTMIGRANT	430	401	93%	347	81%	96	22%

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING .	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION	6 5	0%	33%	17%	50%	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	i	-				
		GRA	[][]]			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALL STUDENTS GENERAL EDUCATION	5 4	<b>0</b> %	04	0%	80%	20%
STUDENTSWITHOISABILITIES	1					
		GRA	DE 3			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING ·	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALL STUDENTS GENERAL EDUCATION	7	0%	14%	0%	5 <b>7</b> %	29% 29%
		ζ": Τ · Λ				2770
CDOLID	PATRICE STATE	CIKA ENTERING	DE 4	TRANSITIONING	্ৰা বিশ্ববিধান	१ । । अनुस्य साम्यासम्बद्धाः १ । ।
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED		Track the second of	The Broke of The Land		COMMANDING
CENEVALEDUCATION STUDENTS WITH DEABILITIES	5	0%	0%	20%	40%	40%
STUDEN A WITH DEADLURES	1	Male and				
	TOMORES STREET, DE	GRA	DE 5			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING .	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING 1	COMMANDING
ALL STUDENTS  GENERAL EDUCATION	2		-	•		
		GRA	NDE 8			
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING .	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALL STUDENTS  GENERAL EDUCATION	1	1.11				
GENERALIGITA	1					
	TAKUN SERBUT		DE 9	Only a surprise of the		
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS GENERALEDUCATION	4			-		, J
		GRA	DE 10		Name of the last	
GROUP	TOTALTESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
			ALCHER STATE	AARKSELDHALK		

ALLSTUDENTS	3					
GENERAL EDUCATION	3		<b>支援任业</b> 协。			
		CRAI	)F 12			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALL STUDENTS						
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	i					
	** COPYRIGHTN	EW YORK STATE EDUCAT	TON DEPARTMENT, ALLI	RIGHTSRESERVED.		
		OCUMENT WAS CREATED				

#### BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

#### ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

(EROUP)	MADEAYP	TESTED 95%.	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	FERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	PLA-EAMO OR SAFE HARBOR TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	P)	EN40	SAFE HARBOR TARGET
ALESTUDENTS	NO	NO	5.050*	74%*	YES	1,848	120	108	108
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE		-	7			6	_		
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	NO	NO	87*	67%	NO	53°	77	85	82
HISPANIC OR LATINO	NO	NO	231*	80%	NO	94	88	92	92
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	-		25	2.17		20	_		
WHITE	NO	NO	4,432"	73%	YES	1,614	122	121	121
MULTIRACIAL	NO	NO	228*	73%*	YES	88	111	101	101
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	751'	68%"	NO	272†	47†	78	62
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-	_	15			12			_
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	Ю	1,486*	70%"	NO	504	88	97	94

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CROUP	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST PRE- ABMINISTRATION PERIOD	ERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	P. C.
NOT AMERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE	5,035*	74%	1,842	120
NOTELACK GRAFFII CANAMERICAN	4,963*	74%*	1.822	120
NOT HISPANIC GREATING	4.819*	73%'	1,754	121
NOTASIAN OR NATIVEHAWAIIAN OTHER PA	4,993*	73%*	1,628	119
NOTWHITE	618*	76%'	234	100
NOTMULTIRACIAL	4 822*	74%	1,760	120
GENERALEDUCATION	4,299*	75%'	1,604	131
ENGLISHPROFICIENT	5,024"	73%*	1,836	120
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	3,564*	75%.	1,344	132
MALE 1	2.570*	73%*	922	108
PENAL	2,480*	74%*	926	131
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOTMIGRANT	5.050*	74%*	1,848	120

<sup>—</sup> There were fever than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

The percent age of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

This group failed to meet the participation criterion and had fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so data for the current and previous year were combined to determine a Performance Index.

#### ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

CROUP	MADEAYP	TESTED 95%	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST		PI >* EAMO OR SAFE HARBOR	ENROLLED ON	Pi	EAMO	SAFE HARBOR TARGET
			ADMINISTRATION	STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES		BEDSDAY			
			PERIOD						

ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	5.042*	75%	YES	1,923	140	107	107
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE			7		I DA ER P	6	_		
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	NO	NO	88*	61%*	NO.	470	72	80	78
HISPANIC OR LATINO	NO	NO	228*	80%*	YES	94	103	90	90
ASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC			25			22			_
WHITE	NO	NO	4,428*	75%*	YES	1,691	144	118	118
MULTIRACIAL	NO	NO	226"	73%"	YES	88	116	95	95
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	750*	66%"	NO	269†	62†	79	74
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT			15			13			-
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO ·	NO .	1,482*	68%"	YES	498	100	95	95

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	STUDENTS ENPOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCOPES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON REUS DAY	
NOTAMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	5,027*	75%*	1,917	140
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	4,954	76%*	1,901	141
NOTHISPANIC OR LATING	4.814	75%	1,829	142
NOTASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAILAN/OTHER PAC	4,985	75%*	1,901	140
NOTWHITE	614	75%*	232	111
NOT MUETIRACIAL!	4.816	75%	1835	141
GENERALEDUCATION	4,292*	77%*	1,681	152
ENGLISHPROFICIENT	5.016*	75%*	1,910	140
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	3,560	79%'	1,425	154
MALE	2,566*	75%*	955	141
FEMALE	2 476'	76%"	968	140
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOT MIGRANT	5.042*	75%*	1,923	140

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

\*The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation criterion.

† Includes for mer students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

† Includes for mer students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

† Includes for mer students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

† Only group of siled to meet the participation criterion and had fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so data for the current and previous year were combined to determine a Performance Index.

#### ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL SCIENCE RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

GROUP	MADE AYP	TESTED 80%	ADMINISTRATION	PERCENT OF GENROLLED STUDENTSWITH VALID TEST SCORE	Market Street	TESTED STUDENT ET ENROLLED ON BEDSDAY		EAVIO	PROGRESS TARGET
	150		PERIOD						
ALL KITS	YES	YES	845	86%	YES	716	188	165	185
AM R WILL DIANORY LASKANATIVE	_		2			2			
EACH R F CANAMERICAN	-		16	_	-	12			
HISP Car Wat To	YES	YES	43	86%	YES	37	173	165	1
ASIA OF ANY HE WALLANOTHER PACIFIC	-	_	9	_		9			
Will be the second	NO	YES	727	86%	NO	619	190	191	191
U I / CIAL	NO	NO	81*	74%	YES	37	178	178	1
STUDENTS (THE DISACE IN IES)	NO	NO	246*	70%°	YES	104†	153†	165	150
ENGLISH RO CIENT	_	-	. 5			5			

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	STUDENTS ENROLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENPOLLED ON BEDS DAY	Pl
NOTAMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKA NATIVE	843	86%	714	188
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	829	86%	704	168
NOT HISPANICOR LATING	802	86%	679	189
NOTASIAN OR NATIVE HAWALIAN/OTHER PA.	836	86%	707	188
NOTWHITE	118	83%	97	177
NOTMULTIRACIAL	797	86%	679	189
GENERALEDUCATION	710	89%	625	194
ENGLISHPROFICIENT	840	86%	711	188
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADYANTAGED	586	90%	523	194
MALE	422	84%	350	187
PENALE	423	87%	366	189
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOTMIGRANT	845	86%	716	188

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PL EAMO, and Progress Target data are suppressed.

\*The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 80 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### SECONDARY-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

- CROUP'	HADEAYP	TESTED 95%	12TH GRADERS		PLANCOR SAFEHARBOR TARGET		PL .	EAMO.	SAFE HARBOR TARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	YES	513	99%	YES	499	180	173	173
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE			3	_		0	_		<u>-</u>
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN		<del>-</del>	12	-		15			-
HISPANICOR LATINO			24			25		1 1 2 1	- # - <u>-</u>
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	-	_	13	-		13			_
WHITE	YES	YES	453	99%	YES	435	183	181	181
MUETRACIAS			8			11		_	1
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	YES	55	95%	NO	60 t	1221	132	132
UMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-		0			1			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	YES	113	97%	NO	108	152	158	158

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.



NOT BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN	501	99%	484	182
NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO	489	99%	474	180
NOT ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PA	500	99%	486	180
NOTWHITE	60	100%	64	164
NOTMULTIRACIAL	505	99%	488	181
GENERALEDUCATION	458	100%	440	188
ENGLISHPROFICIENT	513	99%	498	181
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	400	100%	391	188
MALE	273	99%	265	176
PEMALE	240	99%	234	185
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOTMIGRANT	513	99%	499	180

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 12th graders, so the Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 students in the 2013 accountability cohort, so PI. EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### SECONDARY-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

CKOUP	MADEAYP	TESTED 95%	12TH GRADERS	PERCENT OF 12TH GPADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	SAFE HARBOR	FIXE EAL O OR 2013 SAFE HARBOR ACCOUNTABILITY TARGET COHORT MEMBERS		EAMO	SAFEHARBOR TARGET	
/illistune is	NO	YES	513	99%	NO	499	153	160	160	
THE RICHTUNG AN ORALASTA NATIVE	10 20		3		_	0	- 3			
MARKET FRICANAL ANGAL	_		12		-111-1	15				
HISPANIC OR EATING			24		_	25	-			
CANORIVATIVE HAWAITAN OF ERPACIFICE		- 12	13	- 1		13		_		
WHIT	NO	YES	453	100%	NO	435	155	170	170	
UNTRACIAL		_	8			11				
STO CENTS/WITH DISABILITIES	NO	YES	55	98%	МО	601	82†	124	112	
LIMITED E USHPROFICIENT	-	-	0				-			
CO : CALLY DEADVANTAGED	NO	YES	113	99%	NO	108	120	144	142	

# RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	12TH GRADERS	PERCENT OF 12TH GRADERS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	2013 ACCOUNTABLITY COHORT MEMBERS	
NOTAMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	510	99%	499	153
NOTELACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	501	99%	484	155
NOTHISPANICORLATING	439	100%	474	154
NOT A SAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER FA.	500	99%	486	153
NOTWHITE	60	98%	64	139
NOTHULTIRAGIAL	505	99%	488	154
GENERALERUCATION	458	100%	440	163
ENGLISH PROFICIENT	513	99%	498	154
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	400	100%	391	162
MALE	273	99%	265	151
PEMALE	240	100%	234	156
MIGRANT	0		Ó	

— There were fewer than 40 12 th graders, so the Percent of 12 th Graders with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 students in the 2013 accountability cohort, so PL EAMO, and Safe Har bor Target data are suppressed.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities received the number of students with disabilities and the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### UNWEIGHTED COMBINED ELA AND MATH PIS

GROUP	ELEMENTARY/ MIDDLE-LEVEL ELA FI	ELEMENTARY, MIDDLE LEVEL MATH PI	SECONDARY-LEVEL ELA PI	SECONDARY-LEVEL MATH PL UNIVERGHTED COMBIN		
ALL STUDENTS	120	140	180	153	148	
AMERICAN INDIANORALASKA NATIVE	-				0	
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN					0	
HISPANIC OR LATINO	88	103			96	
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC					0	
WHITE	122	144	183	155	151	
MULTIRACIAL	111	116			114	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES -	47	62	122	82	78	
UMITEDENGUSHPROFICIENT					0	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	88	100	152	120	115	

- There were not enough students to determine a Performance Index.

#### **OVERALL GRADUATION RATE FOR ACCOUNTABILITY**

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: YES

LL STUDENTS	YES
MERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NAYIYE	
LACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	
ISPANIC OR LATINO	
SIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFICISIANDER	
NHITE	YES
AULTIRACIAL	
RUDEN'S WITH DISABILITIES	VES
UMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	
CONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	YES

#### FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

CROUP	MET GRADUATION-PATE CRITERION.	2012 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT	CPADUATION RATE	STATE STANDARD	PROGRESS FARGET
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	464	92%	80%	80%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE		7			
BLACK OR AFRICANAMERICAN					
HISPANIC OR LATINO	= ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	16			
SIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC.		8			
WHITE	YES	417	92%	80%	80%
MULTIRACIAL		12			
TUDENTSWITH DEABILITIES	YES	56†	70%†	80%	69%
IMPTED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-	0			
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	YES	99	83%	80%	80%

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.

NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

— There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

GROUP	MET GRADUATION-RATE CRITERION:	2011 FIVE-YEAR GPADUATION FRATE TOTAL CONOPT	GRADUATION RATE	STATE STANDARD	PROGRESS TARGET
ALLSTÜDENTS	YES	483	94%	80%	80%
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE		2			
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN		9			
HISPANICORIATINO		10			
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWALIAN/OTHER PAGIFIC		9			
WHITE	YES	442	95%	80%	×08
MULTIRACIAL	_	11			
STUDENTSWETH DISABILITIES	YES	54†	69%†	80%	68%
LIMITEDENGLISHPROFICIENT					
ECONOMICARLY DISADVANTAGED	YES	86	83%	80%	80%

YES Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Slandard or the group's Progress Target.

NO Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

— There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to an greater than 30.

#### GRADUATION RATES FOR NON-AYP GROUPS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

GROUP	FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION	I-RATE TOTAL COHORT	FIVE-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHOR			
	2012 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION RATE TOTAL COHORT	GRADUATION RATE	2011 FOUR-YEAR GRADUATION-RATE TOTAL COHORT	GRADUATION RATE		
IOTAMERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE	457	93%	481	94%		
OT BLACKOR AFRICAN AMERICAN	460	92%	474	<b>9</b> 5%		
IOTHISPANIC ORLATINO	448	92%	473	94%		
OTASIAN ORNATIVEHAWAIIAN OTHERPA	456	92%	474	94%		
OT WHITE	47	91%	41	90%		
NOT MULTIRACIAL	452	92%	472	94%		
SENERAL EDUCATION :	408	95%	430	97%		
INGLIGHPROFICIENT	464	92%	482	94%		
OTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	365	95%	397	97%		
MALE	247	90%	250	91%		
TEMALE	217	95%	233	97%		
MIGRANT	0		0			
NOTMIGRANT	464	92%	483	91%		

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

#### Graduation Rates for Regents with Advanced Designation and CTE Endorsement for Accountability

# BALDWINSVILLE CSD - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

#### HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

G <sub>2</sub> QU <sup>3</sup>	COMPLETERS (GRADI	JATES + COMMENCEMENT C	REDENTIALS)	GRADUATE SIPEGENTS	S+LOCAL DIPLOMAS)		REGENTS DIPLO	)MA,
ALL STUDENTS		508		49	7		473	95%
GENERAL EDUCATION		4 18		44	3		443	99%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES		60		4	,		30	61%
GROUP	REGENT <b>S</b> WITH ADV	ANCED DESIGNATION	REGENTSWIT	H CTE ENDORSEMENT	LOCAL	DIPLOMAS	COMMENCE	MENT CREDENTIALS
ALL STUDENTS	235	57%	29	6%	24	5*6	11	2%
GENERAL EDUCATION	276	62%	25	6%	5	196	0	0%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	9	18%	4	8%.	19	39%	ti	18%

#### HIGH SCHOOL NON-COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

<b>G</b> ≥O1 <sub>0</sub>	DeOsi	PED OUT		COLEQUIVALENCY FREPARATION GRAM	TOTALNO	NCOMPLETERS
ALLSTUDENTS	21	1%	2	0.4	20	1%
GENERAL EDUCATION	16	1%	0	0%	16	1%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	,	2%	2	1%	7	3%

# POST-GRADUATION PLANS OF COMPLETERS (2016 - 17)

C ROUP	TO FOUR-YE	ARCOLLEGE	3V OW1 01	AR COLLEGE	TO OTHER POS	ST-SECONTIARY	TO THE MILITARY		
ALL STUDENTS	282	56%	156	31.6	9	2%	10	24	
GENERAL EDUCATION	274	61%	127	28%	2	0%	10	2%	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	В	13%	29	18%	6	10%	o	0%	
GROUP	TO EMPI	OYMENT	TO AUUL	T SERVICES	IQ OTHER H	NOV/N PLANS	PLAHSU	NKNOWN	
ALL STUDENTS	44	9%	1	0%	4	1%	3	1%	
GENERAL EDUCATION	29	6%	0	0%	4	1%	2	0%	
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	15	25%	1	2%	D	0%	1	2%	

© COPYRIGHT NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, ALL PIGHTS RESERVED.

THIS DOCUMENT WAS CREATED ON: APRIL 24, 2018, 2:30 PM EST

# FISCAL ACCOUNTABILITY SUMMARY (2016 - 17)


#### INFORMATION ABOUT EXPENDITURE RATIOS (2015 - 16)

(Data are lagged a year.)

Commissioner's Regulations require that certain expenditure ratios for general-education and special-education students be reported and compared with ratios for similar districts and all public schools. The required ratios for this district are reported below

The numbers used to compute the statistics on this page were collected on the State Aid Form A, the State Aid Form F, the School District Annual Financial Report (ST-3), and from the Student Information Repository System (SIRS).

#### THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT



#### **ALL SCHOOL DISTRICTS**

# GENERAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES \$33,423,609,457 PUPILS PUPILS 2,649,519 EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL SPECIAL EDUCATION INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURES \$14,485,942,729 PUPILS PUPILS EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL

Instructional Expenditures for General Education are K-12 expenditures for classroom instruction (excluding Special Education) plus a proration of building level administrative and instructional support expenditures. These expenditures include amounts for instruction of students with disabilities in a general-education setting. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

\$31.423

\$12,615

The pupil count for General Education is K-12 average daily membership plus K-12 pupils for whom the district pays tuition to another school district. This number represents all pupils, including those classified as having disabilities and those not classified, excluding only students with disabilities placed out of district. Pupils resident in the district but attending a charter school are included. For districts in which a county jail is located, this number includes incarcerated youth to whom the district must provide an education program.

Instructional Expenditures for Special Education are K-12 expenditures for students with disabilities (including summer special education expenditures) plus a proration of building-level administrative and instructional support expenditures. District expenditures, such as transportation, debt service and district-wide administration are not included.

The pupil count for Special Education is a count of K-12 students with disabilities for the school year plus students for whom the district receives tuition from another district plus students for whom the district pays tuition to another district. Students attending the State schools at Rome and Batavia, private placements and out-of-state placements are included.

Instructional Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Instructional Expenditures to Pupils. The total cost of instruction for students with disabilities may include both general- and special-education expenditures. Special-education services provided in the general-education classroom may benefit students not classified as having disabilities.

#### TOTAL EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL


THIS SCHOOL DISTRICT	SIMILAR DISTRICT GROUP	NY STATE
\$17,873	\$21,790	\$23,361

Total Expenditures Per Pupil is the simple arithmetic ratio of Total Expenditures to Pupils. Total Expenditures include district expenditures for classroom instruction, as well as expenditures for transportation, debt service, community service and district-wide administration that are not included in the Instructional Expenditure values for General Education and Special Education. As such, the sum of General Education and Special Education Instructional Expenditures does not equal the Total Expenditures.

#### INFORMATION ABOUT STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (2016 - 17)


Commissioner's Regulations require reporting students with disabilities by the percent of time they are in general education classrooms and the classification rate of students with disabilities. These data are to be compared with percentages for similar districts and all public schools. The required percentages for this district are reported below.

# STUDENT PLACEMENT (PERCENT OF TIME INSIDE REGULAR CLASSROOM)



The source data for the statistics in this table were reported through the Student Information Repository System (SIRS) and verified in Verification Report 5. The counts are numbers of students reported in the least restrictive environment categories for school-age programs (ages 6-21) on BEDS Day, which is the first Wednesday of the reporting year. The percentages represent the amount of time students with disabilities are in general-education classrooms, regardless of the amount and cost of special-education services they receive. Rounding of percentage values may cause them to sum to a number slightly different from 100%.

# SCHOOL-AGE STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CLASSIFICATION RATE



This rate is a ratio of the count of school-age students with disabilities (ages 4-21) to the total enrollment of all school-age students in the school district, including students who are parentally placed in nonpublic schools located in the school district. The numerator includes all school-age students for whom a district has Committee on Special Education (CSE) responsibility to ensure the provision of special-education services. The denominator includes all school-age students who reside in the district. In the case of parentally placed students in nonpublic schools, it includes the number of students who attend the nonpublic schools located in the school district. Source data are drawn from the SIRS and from the Basic Education Data System (BEDS).

Similar District Groups are identified according to the Need-to-Resource-Capacity Index. More information is available on our NRC capacity categories page.

# CATHERINE M MCNAMARA ELEMENTARY SCH - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]

# CATHERINE M MCNAMARA ELEMENTARY SCH ENROLLMENT (2016 - 17)

-12 ENROLLMENT		553
	ENROLLMENT BY G	FNDFR
MALE	ETTIOLEMENT DI GI	FEMALE
290	52%	263 48%
E	NROLLMENT BY ETH	HNICITY
GROUP	TOTAL	PERCENT
LACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	14	3%
ISPANIC OR LATINO	52	9%
SAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	5	1%
/Hire	455	82%
ULTIRACIAL	27	5%
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	OTHER GROUP STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED
8 14	93	% 180 33%
	ENROLLMENT BY G	SRADE
GROUP	TOTAL	PERCENT
(FULL DAY)	88	16%
ST GRADE	90	16%
ND GRADE	84	15%
RO GRADE	93	17%
THORADE	101	18%
THERADE	95	17%
Ungrądėdelementary	2	0%

# AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (2016 - 17)

GROUP CLASS SIZE
COMMON BRANCH 23

# FREE AND REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH (2016 - 17)

ELIGIBLE FOR FREELUNCH ELIGIBLE FOR REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH

ATTENDANCE (2015 - 16)

STUDENT SUSPENSIONS (2015 - 16)

# STAFF COUNTS (2016 - 17)

PRINCIPALS

1
ASSISTANT PRINCIPALS

0
OTHER PROFESSIONALSTAFF

3
PARAPROFESSIONALS


0

# **TEACHER QUALIFICATIONS (2016 - 17)**

TOTAL TEACHERS	36
PERCENT WITH NO TALID TEACHING CERTIFICATE	0¢
PEPCENT TEACHING OUT OF CERTIFICATE	04
PERCENT WITH FEWER THAN THREE YEARS OF EXPENEITCE	8.5
PERCENTAGE WITH MASIES STEGPESPLUS TO HOURS OF DOCTOPATE	
TOTAL NUMBER OF CLASSES	75
PERCENT TAUGHT BY TEACHERS WITH CRUT APPROPRIATE CERTIFICATION	94

#### CATHERINE M MCNAMARA ELEMENTARY SCH - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17]


#### **GRADE 3 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 307

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL 1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL 3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	85	28%	14	16%	47	55%	24	28%	0	0%
SENERALEDUCATION	71	32%	7	10%	41	58%	23	32%	.0	0%
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	14	7%	7	50%	6	43%	1	7%	0	0%
STAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PACIFIC	2	_*								
LACKOR AFRICANAMERICÂN	1	.%								
ISPANICOR EATINO	5	40%	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%	0	0%
VHITE:	74	27%	12	16%	42	57%	20	27%	0	0%
MULTIRACIAL	3	_%								
MALLGROUPTOTAL	6	33%	3 1	17%	3	50%	2	33%	0	0%
EMALE	47	23%	5	11%	31	66%	11	23%	0	0%
WALE	38	34%	9	24%	16	42%	13	34%	0	0%
YON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	84	*								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	96	-	-					i le	
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	26	8%	7	27%	17	65%	2	8%	0	0%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	59	37%	7	12%	30	51%	22	37%	0	0%
NOT MIGRANT	85	28%	14	16%	47	55%	24	28%	0	0%


#### **GRADE 4 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL 2	LEV	EL3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	88	48%	10	11%	36	41%	32	36%	10	11%
GENERALEDUCATION	74	55%	1.4	5%	29	39%	31	42%	10	14%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	14	7%	6	43%	7	50%	1	7%	0	0%
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	2	<b>.</b> %								
HISPANICOR LATINO	14	36%	4	29%	5	36%	5	36%	0	0%
WHITE	68	51%	5	7%	28	41%	25	37%	10	15%
MULTIRACIAL	4	-%								
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	6	33%	1	17%	3	50%	2	33%	0	0%
FEMALE	50	58%	3	6%	18	36%	22	44%	7	14%
MALE	38	34%	7	18%	18	47%	10	26%	3	8%
NON ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS.	86	*								

ENGLISHLANGUAGELEARNERS	2	_%			e sen					
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	31	39%	7	23%	12	39%	10	32%	2	404
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	57	53%	3	5%	24	42%	22	39%	8	6%
NOTMIGRANT	88	48%	10	11%	36	41%	32	36%	10	14%


# **GRADE 5 ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 304

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL 1	LEV	ÆL2	LEVEL 3		LEVEL4	
ALLSTUDENTS	80	33%	25	31%	29	36%	16	20%	10	13%
GENERALEDUCATION	72	36%	18	25%	28	39%	16	22%	10	14%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	B	0%	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN/OTHER PACIFIC.	1	*				1000				U.a
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	1	96								
HISPANIC OR LATINO	6	17%	3	50%	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%
WHITE	67	30%	20	30%	27	40%	12	18%	8	
MULTIRACIAL	5	<b>-%</b>								12%
MALL GROUP TOTAL	7	71%	2	29%	0	0%	3	43%	2	29%
EMALE	38	42%	10	26%	12	32%	11	29%	5	13%
MALE	42	24%	15	36%	17	40%	5	12%	5	
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	78	%						1270		12%
MGLISHLANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	%			-			-		-
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	29	14%	15	52%	10	34%	3	10%	4	
NOTECONOMICALLY ELSADVANTAGED	51	43%	10	20%	19	37%	13	25%	. 1	3%
NOTMIGRANT	80	33%	25	31%	29	36%	16	20%	9	18%


#### **GRADE 3 MATHEMATICS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2		ÆL 2	LEV	ÆL 3	LEVEL 4		
ALLSTUDENTS	85	60%	9	11%	25	29%	29	34%	22	26%
GENERAL EDUCATION	71	65%	5	7%	20	28%	25	35%	21	30%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	14	36%	4	29%	5	36%	4	29%		7%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC	2	_%								
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	1	*	Teresta						-	
HISPANIC OR LATINO	5	60%	1	20%	1	20%	0	0%	3	60%
White	73	60%	7	10%	22	30%	27	37%	17	23%
MULTIRACIAL	4	-%								2010

SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	57%	1	14%	2	29%	2	29%	2	29%
FEMALE,	46	57%	5	11%	15	33%	17	37%	9	20%
MALE -	39	64%	4	10%	10	26%	12	31%	13	33%
NON-ENGLISH LÄNGUAGELEARNERS	84	*								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	1	.%								
ECONÓMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	26	35%	4	15%	13	50%	7	27%	2	8%
NOTECOÑOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	59	71%	5	8%	12	20%	22	37%	20	34%
NOTMIGRANT :	85	60%	9	11%	25	29%	29	34%	22	26%


#### **GRADE 4 MATHEMATICS**



#### MEAN SCORE: 319

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LEV	EL2	LEV	EL 3	LEV	EL4
ALLSTUDENTS	90	51%	9	10%	35	39%	18	20%	28	31%
GENERAL EDUCATION	75	60%	3	4%	27	36%	17	23%	28	37%
anno antismitti oleveriti es	15	7%	6	40%	8	53%	1	7%	0	0%
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	2	*		1.0						
HIPANICOR LATING	14	50%	3	21%	4	29%	3	21%	4	29%
WHITE	70	53%	5	7%	28	40%	14	20%	23	33%
MULTIRACIAL	4	*				35				
SVALL GROUP TO YAL	6	33%	1	17%	3	50%	1	17%	1	17%
FEMALE	51	59%	2	4%	19	37%	14	27%	16	31%
MALE	39	41%	7	18%	16	41%	4	10%	12	31%
NON-ENGUSH LANGUAGELEARNERS	88	%								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	%					-			
ĘCŐNOMICALLY DISADVAÑTÁGED	32	22%	8	25%	17	53%	3	9%	4	13%
NOTECONÓMICALLY DISADVANTAGED,	58	67%	1	2%	18	31%	15	26%	24	41%
NOTMIGRANT	90	51%	9	10%	35	39%	18	20%	28	31%


#### **GRADE 5 MATHEMATICS**



GROUP	TOTAL TESTED?	PROFICIENT	LEV	EL1	LΕV	/EL 2	LEV	/EL3	LEV	/EL4
ALL STUDENTS	76	51%	17	22%	20	26%	22	29%	17	22%
GENERALEDUCATION	68	56%	12	18%	18	26%	21	31%	17	25%
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	8	13%	5	63%	2	25%	1	13%	0	0%
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHER PACIFIC.	1	_%								
BLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	i	_%								

HISPANIC OR LATING	6	17%	4	67%	1	17%	1	17%	0	0%
WHITE	63	54%	12	19%	17	27%	20	32%	14	22%
MULTIRACIAL	S	_%								
SMALL GROUP TOTAL	7	57%	1	14%	2	29%	1	14%	3	43×
FEMALE	36	44%	11	31%	9	25%	9	25%	7	19%
MALE	40	58%	6	15%	11	28%	13	33%	10	25%
NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	74	_%								
ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS	2	*								
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	26	35%	8	31%	9	35%	4	15%	5	19%
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	50	60%	9	18%	- 11	22%	18	36%	12	24%
NOTMIGRANT	76	51%	17	22%	20	26%	22	29%	17	22%

# **GRADE 4 SCIENCE**



MEAN SCORE: 87

GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	PROFICIENT LEVEL 1		LEV	LEVEL 2		LEVEL 3		LEVEL4	
ALL STUDENTS	95	94%	1,8	1%	5 .	5%	24	25%	65	68%
SENERALEOUCATION	80	96%	0	0%	3	4%	16	20%	61	76%
TUBENTSWITHOISARIUTIES	15	80%	1	796	2	13%	В	53%	4	27%
LACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	2	%								
IISPANIC OR LATING	14	93%	1	7%	0	0%	7	50%	6	43%
Maine	74	95%	0	0%	4	5%	15	20%	55	74%
NÜLTIRACIAL	5	-%				. K				
MALL GROUP TOTAL	7	86%	0	0%	1	14%	2	29%	4	57%
EMALE	54	96%	0	0%	2	4%	13	24%	39	72%
MALE	41	90%	1	2%	3	7%	11	27%	26	63%
NON-ENGLISH LANGÜAGE LEARNERS	93	_%			_				_	
ENGLISHLANG VÄGELEARNERS	2	_%					454			
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	32	84%	1	3%	4	13%	15	47%	12	38%
NOTECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	63	98 6	0	0%	1	2%	9	14%	53	84%
NOTMIGRANT	95	94%	1	1%	5	5%	24	25%	65	68%

# NEW YORK STATE ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT (NYSAA) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

GROUP	TOTALTESTED	PROFICIENT	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
GRADE 3 FLA	1	_%	ALTERNATION OF			Sciriling high an
RADESMATH	1	_%				-
RADE4 SCIENCE	1	_%				

# NEW YORK STATE ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE ACHIEVEMENT TEST (NYSESLAT) RESULTS (2016 - 17)

		GRA	DE 1	ALL OF THE REAL PROPERTY.	463.462.83	SAIN MINER
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALL STUDENTS GENERAL EDUCATION	3			-		
		GRA	DE 3			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALL STUDENTS GENERAL EDUCATION	1					
		GRA	DE 4			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING	TRANSITIONING :	EXPANDING	COMMANDING
ALL STUDENTS GENERAL EDUCATION	2 2					
		GRA	DE 5			
GROUP	TOTAL TESTED	ENTERING	EMERGING.	TRANSITIONING	EXPANDING **	COMMANDING
ALLSTUDENTS ÉNERALEDUCATION	2 2					
		WYORK STATE EDUCATI DCUMENT WAS CREATED				

# CATHERINE M MCNAMARA ELEMENTARY SCH - SCHOOL REPORT CARD DATA [2016 - 17] ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

GR.SUP.	MADE AYP	TESTED 95%	STUDENTS EMPOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	FERCENT OF EMPOLIED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	FL>- EAMO OR SAFE HARBOR TARGET	TESTED STUDENTS ETHROLLED OFF BEDS DAY	甲	EAMD	SAFE HARBOR TARCET
ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	566*	87%*	YES	250	118	104	104
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKANATIVE			0		2	0			
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	-		5		_	4			
HISPANIC OR LATINO	-		27		ř. <del>–</del>	23			
ASIANOR NATIVEHAWAILANOTHER PACIFIC	_		3			3			
WHITE	NO	ОИ	474'	86%	YES	208	120	116	116
MULTIRACIAL	-	-	15		_	12			
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	82"	78%*	YES	37†	54†	69	20
LIMÍTED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	_	-	5	1	_	5			
FCONOMICALLYDISADVANTAGED	NO	ОМ	185*	84%"	NO	83	90	91	91

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

GROUP	STUDENTS ENPOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF EUROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS EHROLLED ON BEDS DAY	
NOT AMERICAN INDIAN OF ALASKA NATIVE	566'	87%*	250	118
NOTELACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	556*	87%	246	118
NOTHISPANIC OR LATINO	517*	86%	227	120
NOTAMAN ORNATIVE HA WAIIAN/OTHER PA	562'	86%	247	117
NOTWHITE	92'	89%	42	112
NOTMULTIRACIAL	537*	87%*	238	119
GENERALEDUCATION :	484	88%	214	129
ENGUSH PROFICIENT	560*	86%	245	120
NOTECONOMICALLYDISABVANTAGED	381*	88%	167	132
MALE	277*	86%*	115	105
FEMALE	289*	87%°	135	130
MIGRANT	0		0	
NOTMIGRANT	566	87%	250	118

<sup>—</sup>There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PLEAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the schooltd strict with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

† Includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities in the current year is equal to or greater than 30.

#### ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL MATHEMATICS RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

#### ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: NO

GEOUP COMPANIE DE COMPANIE DE LA COMPANIE DE LA COMPANIE DE COMPANIE DE COMPANIE DE COMPANIE DE COMPANIE DE COMPANIE COMPANIE DE COMPANIE DE C	MADE AYP. TESTED 95%	STUDENTS PERCENT OF ENROLLED DURING ENROLLED THE TEST STUDE: ITS WITH ADMINISTRATION VALID TEST SCOP	TARGET	STED STUDENTS FI ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	EAMO	SAFE HARBOR TARGET
		PERIOD				

ALLSTUDENTS	NO	NO	566*	86%°	YES	247	142	102	102
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE			0		_	0	-		-
BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN			5	_	-	4			
HISPANIC OR LATINO	-	_	27			23		- 1	
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAIIAN OTHER PACIFIC		-	3		_	3			
WHITE	NO	NO	474*	86%"	YES	204	145	113	113
MULTIRACIAL		-	15		Elle-ace	13			
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	NO	NO	82*	78%*	YES	38 t	82†	70	20
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-	- 1	5	- 1		5	-		- 1
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	NO	NO	185*	82%*	YES	80	109	89	. 89

#### RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CROUP	STUDENTS ENPOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY		
NOTA MERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE I	566*	86%*	247	142	
NOT BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	556*	86%*	243	142	
NOTHEPANIC OR LATINO	517'	85%	224	144	
NOTASIANOR NATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PA	562'	86%*	244	141	
NOTWHITE	92	88%*	43	126	
NOYMULTIRACIAL	537*	86%*	234	142	
GENERALEDUCATION	484*	87%*	210	152	
ENGUSHPROFICIENT	560°	86%*	242	143	
NOTECONOMICALLY DISABVANTAGED	381'	88%*	167	157	
MALE	277	87%*	115	142	
FEMALE	289"	85%	132	142	
MIGRANT	0		0		
NOTMIGRANT	566*	86%*	247	142	

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period, so the PI, EAMO, and Safe Harbor Target data are suppressed.

\*The percentage of students tested in the current year fell below 95 percent, so the numbers of enrolled and tested students in the current year and previous year were combined to provide the school/district with another opportunity to meet the participation rate criterion.

† includes former students with disabilities because the number of students with disabilities he cause the number of students with disabilities he number of students with disabilities he cause the number of students with disabilities he

#### ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL SCIENCE RESULTS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY

# ALL ACCOUNTABILITY GROUPS MADE AYP: YES

CROUP	MADE AYP	T&5TED 80%	STUDEN 15 ENROLLED DURIN THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	FERCENT OF G ENROLLED STUDENTS WITH I VALID TEST SCORES	PI≥=EAMO OR PROGRESS TARGET		Pl	EAMO	PROGRESS TARCE
ALLSTUDENTS	YES	YES	101	95%	YES	94	193	179	179
AMERICAN INDIANOR ALASKANATIVE			0			0	_		
BLACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN	-	-	2			2		_	
HISPANICORLATING	-		15			13		<u>-</u>	
ASIAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN/OTHER PACIFIC			0			0			
wнпе	YES	YES	78	96%	YES	74	195	184	184
MULTIRACIAL			6	_		5			
STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES	_		17		_ 1	16			
LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT	-		2	_	_	2	_		1
ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	YES		35		YES	30	180	166	166

# RESULTS FOR THE FOLLOWING GROUPS ARE NOT USED TO DETERMINE AYP.

CROUP	STUDENTS ENPOLLED DURING THE TEST ADMINISTRATION PERIOD	PERCENT OF ENROLLED STUDENTS WATH VALID TEST SCORES	TESTED STUDENTS ENROLLED ON BEDS DAY	FI.
OTAMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	101	95%	94	
OTBLACKORAFRICANAMERICAN	99	95%		193
OT HISPANIC OR LATING	86	95%	92	192
OT ASIAN ORNATIVE HAWAIIAN/OTHER PAL		95%	81	194
OTWHITE	23		94	193
OTMULTIRACIAL	95		20	
ENERAL EDUCATION	The same of the sa	96%	89	193
IGLISH PROFICIENT	84	95%	78	196
DT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTA GED	99	95%	92	192
ALE	66	97%	64	198
MALE	44	93%	40	188
	57	96%	54	196
GRANT	0		0	
DEMIGRANE	101	95%	94	193

<sup>—</sup> There were fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period, so the Percent of Enrolled Students with Valid Test Scores data are suppressed OR there were fewer than 30 tested students enrolled on BEDS day and during the test administration period. so the Pt. EAMO, and Progress Target data are suppressed.

# UNWEIGHTED COMBINED ELA AND MATH PIS

GROUP	ELEMENTARY MIDDLE-LEVEL ELA PI	ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE-LEVEL MATH FI	SECONDARY-LEVEL ELA PI	SECONDARY-LEVEL MATH PI	UNWEIGHTED COMBINED
all students	118	142	1805 byd 6a ias		College
MERICAN INDIAN ORALASKA NATIVE	<b>以为日本特型有"包括</b> 包围				130 .
LACKOR AFRICANAMERICAN				deposit a resultantistical and and	0
ISPANIC OR LATINO					0
SAN OR NATIVE HAWAILAN OTHER PACIFIC					0
VHITE	The second secon				0
SULTIRACIAL	120	145			133
TUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES		-			0
	54	82		The second secon	68
MITEDENGLISHPROFICIENT			-		.0
CONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	90	109			100

There were not enough students to determine a Performance Index.

© COPYRIGHT NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.
THIS DOCUMENT WAS CREATED ON: APRIL 24, 2018, 3:12 PM EST